# Key Stage Assessments: Levels of Progression 2018/19

Appendix A includes revised 2017/18 Key Stage 3 figures

**Methodology Paper** 

March 2020

Version 1.1

## 1. Background

This paper outlines the methodology applied by the Department of Education (DE) to 2018/19 Key Stage Assessment data in order to generate specific high-level NI averages which can be utilised by the Department and schools in their key policies and target setting.

Key Stage Levels of Progression (LoP) are used to measure Literacy and Numeracy targets set out in the Department of Education Strategy 'Count, Read: Succeed' which contains long-term targets by 2019/20. Since 2013/14, ongoing industrial action has heavily impacted on the number of schools submitting their KS data to CCEA (for moderation purposes) and DE. In turn, an exercise has been carried out to firstly determine how representative the 2018/19 returns are within the NI context and, accordingly, adjust the data for non-response within each cohort.

End of Key Stage Assessments (KSAs) are carried out in primary schools at the end of Year 4 (KS1) and Year 7 (KS2), as well as in post-primary schools at the end of Year 10 (KS3).

## 2. Representativeness of 2018/19 achieved returns

Due to industrial action, 2018/19 KSA results were submitted for 13.6% of primary school pupils, and 14.5% of post-primary school pupils. In turn, there is a strong likelihood of non-response bias in any subsequently produced average. Bias arises if the characteristics of non-responding schools (or pupils) differ significantly from those of responding schools (or pupils). The extent of such non-response bias can only be examined by comparing the characteristics of respondents with the distribution of the same characteristics in the entire school population.

To assess how accurately the sample of 2018/19 LoP data reflect the complete Year 4 (KS1), Year 7 (KS2) and Year 10 (KS3) school populations in Northern Ireland, the characteristics of each group have been compared with those collected through the 2018/19 School Census, an extract of which is presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Comparisons of the distribution of participating school pupils (KS1) with all Year 4 pupils in Northern Ireland, 2018/19

	KS1 Submissions (%)	2018/19 School Census (%)			
Management Type					
Controlled	55.8	45.6			
Catholic Maintained	34.9	45.5			
Other <sup>1</sup>	9.3	8.9			
Gender					
Girl	49.3	49.5			
Boy	50.7	50.5			
FSME <sup>2</sup>					
Non-FSME	71.9	70.1			
FSME	28.1	29.9			

- 1. Includes schools within 'other maintained', 'controlled integrated' and 'grant maintained integrated' sectors.
- 2. Free School Meal Entitled (FSME) status was unknown for 17 pupils with submitted KS1 results.

## 3. Weighting

In order to accurately reflect the composition of Northern Ireland's Years 4, 7 and 10 school populations, weights have been calculated and applied to the data to compensate and adjust for non-response bias in KSA data returns. Figures from the 2018/19 School Census were used to derive 3 individual sets of weights, one for each year group.

It was considered appropriate to consider school and pupil characteristics, as presented in Table 3.1, as each will, independently and collectively, influence the expected attainment levels at an individual pupil level.

Table 3.1: School and pupil characteristics used to construct weights accounting for non-response bias in KS1, KS2 and KS3 Levels of Progression submissions, 2018/19

		Primary			Post- Primary	
			KS1	KS2		KS3
		Belfast	*	×		×
		Western	×	×		×
	Former ELB Area <sup>(1)</sup>	North Eastern	*	×		×
		South Eastern	×		×	
Cabaal		Southern	× ×			×
School	Management Type	Controlled	✓	✓		✓
		Catholic Maintained	✓	✓		✓
		Other <sup>(2)</sup>	✓	✓		✓
	School Type	Grammar	-	-		✓
	Oction Type	Non-Grammar	-	-		✓
	FSME	FSME	✓	✓		✓
Pupil	1 OIVIL	Non-FSME	✓	✓		✓
i upii	Gender	Girl	✓	✓		✓
	Gerider	Boy	✓	✓		✓

### Notes:

It should be noted that weighting cannot generate data for certain groups lost through non-response. In this particular exercise, two KS1 and two KS2 pupil groupings had limited or no representation; (i.e. pupils from 'other' management type schools in the former WELB and SELB). This resulted in the decision being made to remove, in line with KS3, ELB from the Primary sector weighting calculation to control the impact of limited returns.

While multiple sets of individual weights can be derived based on school (Type, Management Type) or pupil (Gender, Free School Meal Entitled (FSME)) characteristics, this methodology has focussed on the construction of a single set of pupil-level weights at each Key Stage combining these characteristics given that a pupil's expected attainment will be inter-reliant

<sup>1.</sup> The Education Authority came into existence on 1st April 2015 and assumed the responsibilities of the former Education and Library Boards (ELBs).

<sup>2.</sup> Includes schools within 'other maintained', 'controlled integrated', 'grant maintained integrated' and 'voluntary' sectors.

on a combination of these variables. The set of KS1 weights derived for Year 4 pupils is shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Details of weights to be applied to KS1 LoP data submitted in 2018/19(1)(2)

Management Type	FSME	Gender			
Management Type	1 SIVIL	Girl	Boy		
Controlled	Non-FSME	0.85	0.83		
Controlled	FSME	0.74	0.80		
Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	1.21	1.15		
Catholic Maintained	FSME	1.63	1.73		
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	Non-FSME	1.01	0.96		
3.101	FSME	0.97	0.86		

#### Notes:

- 1. Weights are rounded to 2 decimal places for presentational purposes.
- 2. While the data in this table illustrate the 12 separate weighted values derived for Primary School pupils (KS1 and KS2), the number of values doubles at KS3 to incorporate school type (i.e. Grammar and Non-Grammar).
- 3. Includes schools within 'other maintained', 'controlled integrated', 'grant maintained integrated' and 'voluntary' sectors.

The effect of applying the above weighting is depicted in Table 3.3 which shows the proportion of Year 4 pupils (KS1) achieving the expected level (Level 2 or above) before and after weighting.

Table 3.3: Effects of weighting on KS1 averages, by gender, 2018/19

% achieving level 2 of	Unweighted %	Weighted %	
	Girls	88.3	89.1
Communication	Boys	84.0	84.8
	All	86.1	86.9
	Girls	88.8	89.1
Using Maths	Boys	87.9	88.5
	All	88.3	88.8
	Girls	87.9	89.0
Using ICT	Boys	85.6	87.6
	All	86.7	88.3

This technique corrects for over- and under- representation in the various groups when compared with the entire population. Table 3.4 presents an updated version of Table 2.1 showing how weighting has adjusted the proportion of participating pupils in line with school census data.

Table 3.4: Effects of weighting on the distribution of participating pupils (KS1) with all Year 4 pupils in Northern Ireland, 2018/19

	KS1 Sub	missions	2018/19 School	
	Unweighted %	Unweighted % Weighted %		
Management Type				
Controlled	55.8	45.3	45.6	
Catholic Maintained	34.9	45.8	45.5	
Other <sup>1</sup>	9.3	9.0	8.9	
Gender				
Girl	49.3	49.5	49.5	
Boy	50.7	50.5	50.5	
FSME <sup>2</sup>				
Non-FSME	71.9	70.2	70.1	
FSME	28.1	29.8	29.9	

#### Notes:

- 1. Includes schools within 'other maintained', 'controlled integrated' and 'grant maintained integrated' sectors.

  2. Free School Meal Entitled (FSME) status was unknown for 17 pupils with submitted KS1 results.

## 4. Standard error and confidence intervals

Given that only a proportion of schools submitted KSA returns in 2018/19, any averages derived from these data may differ from those that would have been obtained if all schools had responded. The extent of this difference naturally depends on the level of non-response. It is, however, possible to calculate the range of values between which the (true) population figures are estimated to lie. This is known as the confidence interval, sometimes referred to as a margin of error.

Confidence intervals at the 95% confidence level have been stated alongside the various estimates produced for 2018/19. This means that, while the best estimate represents the weighted mean figure drawn from the data, there is 95% certainty that the true population mean lies between the lower and higher estimates. To put it another way, it is assumed (and accepted) that there is a one in 20 chance that the true population value will fall outside the 95 percent confidence interval calculated for the (best) estimate.

Confidence intervals have been calculated using the standard error of proportions, using the formula:

$$se(p) = \sqrt{\frac{p \times (1-p)}{n}}$$

## 5. Statistical significance of change

Because these KSA estimates are subject to sampling error, differences between estimates from successive years or between population subgroups may occur by chance. It is possible to measure whether this is likely to be the case using standard statistical tests and conclude whether differences are likely to be due to chance or represent a real difference.

For the purposes of this exercise, where differences have emerged as being statistically significant, these have been reported at the 5% (p<0.05) level of probability (two-tailed tests). This means that, for any observed result that is found to be statistically significant, one can be 95% confident that this has not happened by chance. Within Table 6.2, any increases or decreases that are statistically significant at the five per cent level (p<0.05), and are therefore considered to be real, are indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*).

# 6. Results - NI averages

Table 6.1 displays weighted headline results for 2018/19 at the NI level for KS1, KS2 and KS3 pupils achieving the expected level in Communication (in English), Using Maths, and Using ICT together with confidence intervals.

Table 6.1: Levels of progression in Communication, Using Maths and Using ICT,
NI averages with Confidence Intervals 2018/19<sup>(1) (2)</sup>

				2018/19	
	% pupils a	chieving the expected level	Best Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>	Lower Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>	Higher Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>
KS1 <sup>(4)</sup>	Level 2 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	86.9 88.8 88.3	85.7 87.7 87.1	88.1 89.9 89.5
KS2 <sup>(4)</sup>	Level 4 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	78.7 79.5 85.8	77.2 78.0 84.5	80.2 81.0 87.1
KS3 <sup>(4)</sup>	Level 5 or above	Grammar and Non-Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT  Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT  Non-Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	77.0 78.9 73.5 98.0 99.0 98.2 61.1 64.2 60.4	75.2 77.2 71.3 97.0 98.3 97.0 58.6 61.7 57.6	78.8 80.6 75.7 99.0 99.7 99.4 63.6 66.7 63.2

Source: EA (DE)

- 1. Excludes Special and Independent schools.
- 2. Data have been weighted to account for non-response bias.

<sup>3.</sup> The best estimate is the mean figure drawnfrom the sample. The lower and higher estimates are for the 95% confidence interval. There is 95% certainty that the true population value lies between the lower and higher estimates.

<sup>4.</sup> In 2018/19 weighting for former ELB area was removed from KS1 and KS2 data to control for the impact of limited returns from some former ELB areas.

Results suggest that, in 2018/19, there was no significant difference in the overall percentage of KS1 pupils attaining the expected level in Communication (English) or Using Maths, from that in 2017/18. There was also no significant difference for boys or girls, or for those with FSME or those without. However, for Using ICT, the overall percentage was significantly lower, as was the percentage for girls and for Non-FSME pupils.

There was no significant difference in the percentage of pupils attaining the expected level in any subject overall at KS2, or for boys or girls, or for those with FSME or those without.

Overall, there has been a significant increase in the percentage of post-primary school pupils (KS3) achieving the expected level in Communication. There was no significant difference in Using Maths. There was, however, a significant decrease in the overall percentage achieving the expected level in Using ICT. There was also a significant decrease for Non-grammar school pupils achieving the expected level in Using ICT (Table 6.2).

Table 6.2: Levels of progression in Communication, Using Maths and Using ICT, NI averages 2012/13 to 2018/19<sup>(1)</sup>

% pupils achieving expected level (Level 5 or above):				2013/14 <sup>(2)</sup>	2014/15 <sup>(2)</sup>	2015/16 <sup>(2)</sup>	2016/17 <sup>(2)</sup>	2017/18 <sup>(2)</sup>	2018/19 <sup>(2)</sup>	cha 2017/	ficant nge, '18 to /19 <sup>(3)</sup>
KS1	Level 2 or above	Communication (English)	90.1	91.1	88.7	87.5	88.2	86.8	86.9		
		Using Maths	90.8	92.2	90.3	88.4	89.3	88.0	88.8		
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	89.9	89.9	88.3	**	$\downarrow$
KS2	Level 4 or above	Communication (English)	77.1	79.8	76.8	78.0	78.8	77.7	78.7		
		Using Maths	78.5	80.3	77.4	78.7	79.6	78.6	79.5		
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	84.2	84.5	85.8		
KS3	Level 5 or above	All Pupils									
		Communication (English)	72.2	74.1	74.0	78.2	75.8	74.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	77.0	**	<b>↑</b>
		Using Maths	73.9	77.1	77.3	78.7	75.9	77.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	78.9		
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	68.4	77.3 <sup>(4)</sup>	73.5	**	$\downarrow$
		Grammar									
		Communication (English)	96.8	98.6	98.4	97.6	96.5	98.4 <sup>(4)</sup>	98.0		
		Using Maths	98.8	99.4	99.7	98.0	96.0	99.4 <sup>(4)</sup>	99.0		
	Using ICT Non-Grammar		-	-	-	-	93.7	98.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	98.2		
		Communication (English)	58.8	59.3	58.5	64.1	63.3	61.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	61.1		
		Using Maths	59.8	62.1	63.5	63.6	64.2	63.2 <sup>(4)</sup>	64.2		
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	57.1	64.3 <sup>(4)</sup>	60.4	**	$\downarrow$

Source: EA (DE)

<sup>1.</sup> Excludes Special and Independent schools.

<sup>2.</sup> From 2013/14 onwards data have been weighted to account for non-response bias. In 2017/18 for KS3 and in 2018/19 for KS1 and KS2, weighting for former ELB area was removed from data to control for the impact of limited returns from some former ELB areas.

<sup>3.</sup> Statistical significance of change at the 5% level (two-tailed test) is indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*) with the direction of change indicated by an arrow.

<sup>4.</sup> KS3 figures for 2017/18 have been updated following a review of the analysis process for that year.

# Appendix A: Revised 2017/18 Key Stage 3 figures

Table A.1: Levels of progression in Communication, Using Maths and Using ICT, NI averages with Confidence Intervals 2017/18<sup>(1) (2)</sup>

				2017/18	
	% pupils a	chieving the expected level	Best Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>	Lower Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>	Higher Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>
KS1 <sup>(4)</sup>	Level 2 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	86.8 88.0 89.9	85.7 87.0 89.0	87.9 89.0 90.8
KS2 <sup>(4)</sup>	Level 4 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	77.7 78.6 84.5	76.4 77.3 83.3	79.0 79.9 85.7
KS3 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>	Level 5 or above	Grammar and Non-Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT  Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT  Non-Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Using ICT	74.5 77.5 77.3 98.4 99.4 98.5 61.5 63.2 64.3	72.9 76.1 75.6 97.6 98.9 97.6 59.4 61.2 62.0	76.1 78.9 79.0 99.2 99.9 99.4 63.6 65.2 66.6

Source: EA (DE)

- 1. Excludes Special and Independent schools.
- 2. Data have been weighted to account for non-response bias.
- 3. The best estimate is the mean figure drawnfrom the sample. The lower and higher estimates are for the 95% confidence interval. There is 95% certainty that the true population value lies between the lower and higher estimates.
- 4. In 2017/18 weighting for former ELB area was removed from KS3 data to control for the impact of limited returns from some former ELB areas.
- 5. KS3 figures for 2017/18 have been updated following a review of the analysis process for that year. Due to industrial action, results were submitted for around 22% of post-primary school pupils.

## Appendix A: Revised 2017/18 Key Stage 3 figures

Results suggest that, in 2017/18, there is no significant difference in the overall percentage of KS1 pupils attaining the expected level in Communication, Using Maths or Using ICT from that in 2016/17. However, boys were significantly lower in Communication and those pupils with FSME were lower in both Communication and Using Maths.

There is no significant difference in the percentage of pupils attaining the expected level in any subject overall at KS2, or for boys or girls, or for those with FSME or those without.

Overall, there has been no significant difference in the percentage of post-primary school pupils achieving the expected level in Communication or Using Maths. There was, however, a significant increase in the overall percentage of post-primary schools achieving the expected level in Using ICT. There was a rise in the percentage of Grammar school pupils assessed as achieving the expected level in all three subjects while Non-grammar school pupils showed a rise in Using ICT but no difference in the other 2 subjects. (Table A.2).

# Appendix A: Revised 2017/18 Key Stage 3 figures

Table A.2: Levels of progression in Communication, Using Maths and Using ICT, NI averages 2012/13 to 2017/18(1)

			2012/13 <sup>(2)</sup>	2013/14 <sup>(2)</sup>	2014/15 <sup>(2)</sup>	2015/16 <sup>(2)</sup>	2016/17 <sup>(2)</sup>	2017/18 <sup>(2)(4)</sup>	Significant change, 2016/17 to 2017/18 <sup>(3)(4)</sup>
KS1	Level 2 or above	Communication (English)	90.1	91.1	88.7	87.5	88.2	86.8	
		Using Maths	90.8	92.2	90.3	88.4	89.3	88.0	
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	89.9	89.9	
KS2	Level 4 or above	Communication (English)	77.1	79.8	76.8	78.0	78.8	77.7	
		Using Maths	78.5	80.3	77.4	78.7	79.6	78.6	
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	84.2	84.5	
KS3	Level 5 or above	All Pupils							
		Communication (English)	72.2	74.1	74.0	78.2	75.8	74.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	
		Using Maths	73.9	77.1	77.3	78.7	75.9	77.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	68.4	77.3 <sup>(4)</sup>	** ↑
		Grammar							
		Communication (English)	96.8	98.6	98.4	97.6	96.5	98.4 <sup>(4)</sup>	** ↑
		Using Maths	98.8	99.4	99.7	98.0	96.0	99.4 <sup>(4)</sup>	** ↑
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	93.7	98.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	** ↑
		Non-Grammar							
		Communication (English)	58.8	59.3	58.5	64.1	63.3	61.5 <sup>(4)</sup>	
		Using Maths	59.8	62.1	63.5	63.6	64.2	63.2 <sup>(4)</sup>	
		Using ICT	-	-	-	-	57.1	64.3 <sup>(4)</sup>	** ↑

Source: EA (DE)

<sup>1.</sup> Excludes Special and Independent schools.

<sup>2.</sup> From 2013/14 onwards data have been weighted to account for non-response bias. In 2017/18, weighting for former ELB area was removed from KS3 data to control for the impact of limited returns from some former ELB areas.

<sup>3.</sup> Statistical significance of change at the 5% level (two-tailed test) is indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*) with the direction of change indicated by an arrow.

<sup>4.</sup> KS3 figures for 2017/18, and change since 2016/17, have been updated following a review of the analysis process for that year. Due to industrial action, 2017/18 results were submitted for around 22% of post-primary school pupils.