

Analytical Services Group

Crown Court Bulletin

July to September 2015

Research and Statistical Bulletin 21/2015

Provisional Figures

C Darragh

6th November 2015





Produced by Analytical Services Group,

Department of Justice.

For further information write to:

Analytical Services Group,

NI Courts and Tribunals Service,

4th Floor, Laganside House,

23-27 Oxford Street,

Belfast BT1 3LA

Telephone: 028 9072 8927

Email: caroline.darragh@courtsni.gov.uk

This bulletin is available on the Internet at:

www.courtsni.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- This bulletin provides information on throughput at Crown Courts in Northern Ireland during the period July to September 2015 and commentary on trends observed for this quarter in each year from 2007. During the period July to September 2015:
- The introduction of new rules in May 2015 in relation to legal aid remuneration resulted in a number of solicitors and counsel withdrawing their representation from a range of court cases. This has affected defendants' access to legal aid representation and this may have had an impact on disposals and delay within the Crown Court process during the period.
- There were 364 Crown cases received during July to September 2015 (Table 1). This was a 6% increase on the 345 received during July to September 2014. The number of cases disposed has decreased by 34% from 232 during July to September 2014, to 153 for the same period in 2015 (Table 2). The number of cases received and disposed for the July to September quarter over the last nine years is outlined in Figure 1.
- There were 439 defendants received in the Crown Court during July to September 2015 (similar to the same period last year, when 436 defendants were received). 194 defendants were disposed during July to September 2015 (a decrease of 34% against the same period last year, when 296 defendants were dealt with).
- The average time from committal to hearing for July to September 2015 was 118 days, compared with 110 days for the same period in 2014. The average time from conviction to disposal was 72 days, compared with 60 days for the equivalent period in 2014. The average waiting times for defendants disposed in the July to September quarter over the last nine years is outlined in Figure 2.
- County Court Judges disposed of 98% of defendants (191) however during the same quarter last year they disposed of 96% (283) defendants. 15% of defendants were charged solely with offences against the person while 46% of defendants had a combination of charges. During the same period last year 9% of defendants were charged solely with offences against the person, and 37% of defendants had a combination of charges. The charge types for defendants disposed during July to September 2015, are outlined in Figure 3.
- Of the 194 defendants disposed during July to September 2015, 57 (29%) pleaded guilty to all charges, compared with 111 (38%) during July to September 2014.
- In total there were 333 Crown Court sittings for July to September 2015 compared with 380 for July to September 2014 (a decrease of 12%), with a total time of 790 hours sat compared with 979 hours sat during the same period last year.

CONTENTS

Contents	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. Methodology	2
3. <u>Findings</u>	4
3.1 Receipts & Disposals	
3.2 Waiting times	
3.3 Disposals by Judge Type	
3.4 Disposals by Charge Type	
3.5 Outcome of Defendants	
3.6 Sittings	
Appendix 1 - Tables	8
Appendix 2 - Explanatory Notes	12
Figure	Page
Figure 1: Crown Court cases received and disposed: July - September 2007 to July - September 2015	4
Figure 2: Crown Court waiting times in days: July - September 2007 to July - September 2015	5

Figure		Page
Figure 1:	Crown Court cases received and disposed: July - September 2007 to July - September 2015	4
Figure 2:	Crown Court waiting times in days: July - September 2007 to July - September 2015	5
Figure 3:	Crown Court defendants disposed by charge type: July - September 2015	6

Table		Page
Table 1	Crown Court cases received	8
Table 2	Crown Court cases disposed	8
Table 3	Crown Court defendants received	8
Table 4	Crown Court defendants disposed	9
Table 5	Waiting times in days	9
Table 6	Crown Court defendants disposed by judge type	9
Table 7	Crown Court defendants disposed by charge type	10
Table 8	Outcome of Crown Court defendants	10
Table 9	Crown Court sitting times	11

INTRODUCTION

The Crown Court has exclusive jurisdiction to try offences charged on indictment. Offences tried on indictment are more serious offences. The Lord Chief Justice is President of the Crown Court and the Lords Justices of Appeal, High Court Judges and county court judges all sit in the Crown Court.

Trial on indictment in the Crown Court follows after the accused has been returned for trial at committal proceedings in a magistrates' court. The Public Prosecution Service is responsible for preparing a formal document called an indictment, stating the charges which the accused will face. The matters are then tried before a judge sitting with a jury.

The Crown Court normally sits at seven different venues throughout Northern Ireland and the trial of non-scheduled offences takes place at the sitting of the Crown Court determined by the District Judge who committed the accused. This is usually the Crown Court acting for the county court division in which the offence is alleged to have been committed.

Appeal from the Crown Court is to the Court of Appeal. If a person wishes to appeal against conviction on a question of fact, the permission of either the Crown Court Judge or the Court of Appeal is required. To appeal against sentence he or she needs the leave of the Court of Appeal.

All persons convicted of a scheduled offence tried on indictment can appeal against conviction to the Court of Appeal on any grounds and without leave. This automatic right of appeal is a safeguard built into the system because in these cases there is no jury.

The prosecution has no right to appeal against the acquittal of a defendant who has been tried on indictment. The Attorney-General can refer a point of law to the Court of Appeal for its opinion, but even if the Court of Appeal considers that the trial judge made an error of law resulting in an acquittal, the acquittal still stands. The reference and subsequent ruling is useful in guiding the prosecution of future trials.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data sources

Data contained in the Tables are inputted onto the Integrated Court operations System (ICOS). This system was implemented across the Northern Ireland Courts and Tribunals Service (NICTS) over a two year period from 2005 to 2007. While ICOS was introduced in the Crown Court during 2006, data derived from ICOS has been used as the source for official government statistics since 2007, when the implementation of ICOS across all court tiers was completed. ICOS is a live operating system used in each court tier to process every part of court business, from receipt of payments through to the production of final orders made. The data are input into this system on a daily basis by court clerks, and this information is checked and confirmed by another member of staff to ensure the data entries are correct. As data on licence applications received and disposed are not recorded on ICOS, these data are compiled manually by each county court office and submitted on a quarterly basis.

2.2 Methodology for generating data

Statisticians based within the NICTS take a download of Crown Court information from ICOS on a monthly basis. The information is downloaded using a package called Oracle Discoverer which extracts all the relevant data from ICOS into text files which are refreshed with up to date information each weekend. The text files are downloaded onto a secure internal facility that only specified statistical personnel have access to. The data contained in the files are identified only by numbers and names and addresses are not held. These statistical files are not transmitted outside the NICTS statistical team. The statisticians based in the NICTS then import these data into the Statistics Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). SPSS is then used to perform extensive data validations to identify potential errors in the data and to ensure the data are reliable and robust.

2.3 Baseline and Time period

Data contained within this bulletin relate to the time series 2007, when ICOS was adopted as the source of Crown Court data, to the current calendar year 2015.

2.4 Data quality and validation

Statisticians based in the NICTS have invested significant time and effort creating an extensive computer programme within SPSS to validate the information downloaded from ICOS. The programme includes over 100 checks against downloaded Crown Court data to: (i) check consistency over time and between variables; (ii) reliability of data using logic checks; (iii) checking that variables fall within accepted ranges; and (iv) checking with the ICOS Support Team in the event of any major discrepancies that have occurred since the last download. The validation program produces user friendly tables highlighting the potential problems which are circulated to each county court venue as a validation report. Each court division has a Case Progression Officer who is responsible for: monitoring accuracy levels on ICOS, by carrying out sample checks on data entry and court resulting;

ensuring all validations on ICOS and manual statistics returns are completed in a timely manner; providing advice and identifying training needs; monitoring the confirmation of ICOS criminal court resulting, including the inputting of adjournment codes; and promoting awareness of the impact error can have, its wide ranging consequences and the negative impact on the reliability of management information.

The Case Progression Officer is given a two week period from the date the validation report issues until all records are amended on ICOS. This ensures that the corrections are updated before the next download of files. After the files have been refreshed each weekend, all the data are then downloaded again, with the new download reflecting amendments made as a result of the validation reports. If any errors have not been corrected, they will continue to appear in the validation reports until the necessary amendments are made on ICOS.

The NICTS statistics team then ensure that all validations relating to the reporting period are amended prior to publication. Once these amendments have been checked, SPSS syntax programming is then used to generate the tables in the publication.

2.5 Counting rules

Receipts are counted from the date the case is committed to the Crown Court from the Magistrates court. Disposals are counted from the result date that a final order was made against the case changing the case status to dealt with.

2.6 Interpreting trends

Care should be taken when comparing data trends before and after 2007, when ICOS was introduced as the source for Crown Court data. For further advice on differences in data between years, please contact the statistician responsible for this bulletin.

2.7 Revisions


Any revision to data will be applied in light of the ASG (NICTS) Statistical Note 'Policy Statement on Revisions' which can be found on the Statistics and Research page of the Services section on the NICTS website (www.courtsni.gov.uk). Incorrect figures or changes to this publication will be published on the web in a formal Statistical Notice.

3 FINDINGS

3.1 Receipts & Disposals

There were 364 Crown cases received during July to September 2015 (Table 1). This was a 6% increase on the 345 received during July to September 2014. The number of cases disposed has decreased by 34% from 232 during July to September 2014, to 153 for the same period in 2015 (Table 2). The number of cases received and disposed for the July to September quarter over the last nine years is outlined in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Crown Court Cases Received and Disposed: July – September 2007 to July – September 2015



The trend in Crown Court cases received in the July to September quarter fluctuated between 2007 and 2015, peaking at 463 in 2013. The trend in cases disposed also fluctuated between 2007 and 2015, with decreases of 6% in 2014 and a further 34% in 2015.

As shown in figure 1, across the time series there is a marked difference in the number of cases received than disposed. This is due to summer recess period in July and August each year, when cases continue to be received but the Crown Court does not sit during these two months.

There were 439 defendants received in the Crown Court during July to September 2015 (a similar number as the same period last year, when 436 defendants were received). 194 defendants were disposed during July to September 2015 (a decrease of 34% against the same period last year, when 296 defendants were dealt with). The trend in defendants received and disposed fluctuated between 2007 and 2015 with receipts and disposals both peaking in 2013, before decreasing in 2014. (Tables 3 and 4).

3.2 Waiting times

The average time from committal to hearing for July to September 2015 was 118 days, compared with 110 days for the same period in 2014. The average time from conviction to disposal was 72 days, compared with 60 days for the equivalent period in 2014. Average waiting times from committal to hearing have fluctuated over the last eight years peaking in 2011 at 153 days (Figure 2). The average waiting times from conviction to disposal have remained relatively stable over the last nine years ranging between 60 and 79 days.




Figure 2: Crown Court waiting times in days: July - September 2007 to July - September 2015

3.3 Disposals by Judge Type

County Court Judges disposed of 98% of defendants (191) and during the same quarter last year they disposed of 96% (283) defendants. The percentage of defendants disposed of by County Court Judges has remained relatively stable over the time series, ranging between 91% and 99%.

3.4 Disposals by Charge Type

Between July and September 2015, 15% of defendants were charged solely with offences against the person while 46% of defendants had a combination of charges (Figure 3). During the same period last year 9% of defendants were charged solely with offences against the person, and 37% of defendants had a combination of charges. Throughout the time series the majority of defendants disposed of each year have been charged with a combination of offences. Defendants charged solely with offences against the person account for the next largest offence category, ranging from 9% to 18% for the July to September quarter between 2007 and 2015.




Figure 3: Crown Court defendants disposed by charge type: July- September 2015

3.5 Outcome of Defendants

Of the 194 defendants disposed of during July to September 2015, 57 (29%) pleaded guilty to all charges, compared with 111 (38%) during July to September 2014. In total 87% of defendants pleaded guilty or were found guilty of at least one offence between July to September 2015. Across the nine year time series, this percentage ranged between 79% and 87%.

3.6 Sittings

In total there were 333 Crown Court sittings for July to September 2015 compared with 380 for July to September 2014 (a decrease of 12%), with a total time of 790 hours sat compared with 979 hours sat during the same period last year.

APPENDIX 1

Table 1 - Crown Court cases received

145.6 1 0.0 111 0.0 411 0.4 0.0 1.0 0.1 0.4		
	Total	
Belfast	86	
Londonderry	50	
Antrim	46	
Fermanagh and Tyrone	47	
Armagh and South Down	42	
Ards	56	
Craigavon	37	
Total	364	

Table 2 - Crown Court cases disposed

Table 2 Olowir Ocart cacco alopocca		
	Total	
Belfast	43	
Londonderry	11	
Antrim	14	
Fermanagh and Tyrone	21	
Armagh and South Down	23	
Ards	24	
Craigavon	17	
Total	153	

Table 3 - Crown Court defendants received

	Total
Belfast	110
Londonderry	56
Antrim	59
Fermanagh and Tyrone	53
Armagh and South Down	51
Ards	66
Craigavon	44
Total	439

Table 4 - Crown Court defendants disposed

	Total
Belfast	56
Londonderry	13
Antrim	23
Fermanagh and Tyrone	24
Armagh and South Down	28
Ards	31
Craigavon	19
Total	194

Table 5 - Waiting times in days

			Total
	Committal to	Conviction to	defendants
	hearing - days	disposal - days	disposed
Belfast	121	65	50
Londonderry	188	84	12
Antrim	122	91	22
Fermanagh and Tyrone	123	54	22
Armagh and South Down	85	97	14
Ards	108	88	31
Craigavon	99	44	19
Total	118	72	170

^[1] Excludes defendants who had a bench warrant or deferred sentence

Table 6 - Crown Court defendants disposed by judge type

	Judicia		
	County Court	High Court	
	Judge	Judge	Total
Belfast	53	3	56
Londonderry	13	0	13
Antrim	23	0	23
Fermanagh and Tyrone	24	0	24
Armagh and South Down	28	0	28
Ards	31	0	31
Craigavon	19	0	19
Total	191	3	194

Table 7 - Crown Court defendants disposed

by charge type

by charge type	
All Offences Against the Person	29
All Sexual Offences	20
All Burglary Offences	9
All Robbery Offences	0
All Theft Offences	8
All Fraud and Forgery Offences	4
All Criminal Damage Offences	2
All Offences Against the State	2
All Other Offences	7
All Drug Offences	20
All Motoring Offences	3
All Non-Police Offences	0
Combination of charges	90
Total	194

Table 8 - Outcome of Crown Court Defendants

	Plea of guilty on	Plea of not guilty on at least one charge - found guilty on at least one	Plea of not guilty - acquitted on all	All charges	
	all charges	charge	charges	withdrawn	Total
Belfast	15	32	9	0	56
Londonderry	5	6	2	0	13
Antrim	5	15	1	2	23
Fermanagh and Tyrone	11	9	4	0	24
Armagh and South Down	4	21	3	0	28
Ards	14	13	4	0	31
Craigavon	3	15	1	0	19
Total	57	111	24	2	194

Table 9 - Crown Court sitting times

- 40.00	Crown Court Sitting times		
		Number of	-
		sittings	Total time
	Belfast	107	243:53
	Londonderry	21	48:17
	Antrim	53	104:03
	Fermanagh and Tyrone	32	113:00
	Armagh and South Down	51	101:10
	Ards	34	92:40
	Craigavon	35	87:01
Total		333	790:04

APPENDIX 2 - EXPLANATORY NOTES

Acquittal

A judgement or verdict that a person is not guilty of the crime with which they have been charged

Arraignment

The procedure by which the defendant has criminal charges formally put to him before the judge at the Crown Court and he enters his plea of guilty

Committal

The procedure by which a person is returned for trial to the Crown Court by the magistrates' court, if the magistrates' court is satisfied that there is a case to answer.

County court judge

A judge who sits in the county court and the Crown Court.

Day sat

This is a day on which a judge sat to hear court business. The information is organised into the various types of court business that a judge hears. The judge's day may consist of one or more sittings, at one or more court venues.

Disposed

The date the case is finally dealt with via a court or non-court result and the case is no longer in the court process.

High Court Judge

A judge who sits in the High Court and the Crown Court to hear and determine civil, family, and criminal business.

Hybrid charge

This is also referred to as a 'triable-either-way' offence. A criminal offence that may be prosecuted either summarily (in the magistrates' court) or on indictment (in the Crown Court). In the majority of cases, the prosecution decides how the offence is tried depending on the seriousness of the offence.

Indictable charge

A serious criminal offence where the defendant is usually tried in the Crown Court.

Indictable triable summarily charge

A serious criminal offence where a defendant can be tried in the Crown Court but may in some instances be tried in a magistrates' court.

Justice & Security Act 2007

Legislation which replaced the Terrorism Act 2000 which makes provision for non-jury trials.

Plea

The response a defendant gives after criminal charges have been put to him e.g. "guilty" or "not guilty."

Scheduled

A scheduled offence is one which is listed in Schedule 9 of the Terrorism Act 2000 and which, if it is tried on indictment, will be heard by a judge sitting without a jury. This has been replaced by the Justice and Security Act 2007.

Sitting

This is a period of work by a judge in a single courtroom on a single day. Several types of business may be heard at one sitting. Business heard in different courtrooms, whether at the same venue or elsewhere, is counted as separate sittings.

Withdrawn

An order which removes a case from court, for a variety of reasons. The court action then ceases.

Analytical Services Group,

Northern Ireland Courts and Tribunals Service,

Department of Justice

4th Floor, Laganside House

23-27 Oxford Street,

Belfast BT1 3LA

Email: caroline.darragh@courtsni.gov.uk

Telephone: 028 9072 8927

Research and Statistical Bulletin 21/2015