

#### **ANALYSIS OF NICS RECRUITMENT COMPETITIONS**

1 January 2017- 31 December 2017

March 2018





### **Contents**

Page	ì
Executive Summary3	
Section 1: Purpose of the report5	
Section 2: NICS recruitment competitions which closed for applications during 20177	
Section 3: NICS Senior Civil Service recruitment competitions which closed for applications during 2017 29	
Section 4: Further information35	
Annex A: Departmental information and updated appointee profiles for competitions held during 2014, 2015 and 2016 37	



PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

#### **Executive Summary**

#### **Overview**

- This report provides a high-level statistical summary, from an equality perspective, of the
  recruitment of staff to the Northern Ireland Civil Service (NICS) during 2017. It provides
  analysis of applicants across various equality categories and compares the profile of
  appointees and applicants at different stages in recruitment competitions with what might
  be expected if the groups within each equality category (e.g. males and females) had been
  equal in terms of merit.
- In 2017, 88 NICS recruitment competitions were held <sup>1</sup>, attracting 5,794 applications. By 1 February 2018, 284 appointments had been made. The only competition held which attracted a high volume of applicants was the Northern Ireland Prison Service competition for Custody Prison Officers, Night Custody Officers and Prisoner Custody Officers (1,697 applicants). There were more competitions than the 51 held in 2016, and the number of applicants was higher than in that year (4,131).

#### **Permanent NICS jobs**

- There were 86 competitions for permanent NICS jobs, which attracted a total of 5,581 applications.
- By 1 February 2018, 284 appointments had been made from these competitions. The gender profile of appointees was broadly in line with what would be expected if male and female applicants were equal in merit. A few more Protestants than expected were appointed, while the number of appointees from a Not Determined community background was lower than expected. The number of appointees with NICS experience was higher than might have been expected. As regards age, there were more appointees than expected in the 25-39 and 50+ age categories. It should be noted that further appointments may be made from these competitions, which could change the profile of appointees.
- In relation to the first key selection stage (invitation to interview), the analysis shows that across most of the equality categories, the profile of candidates invited to interview was broadly in line with what was expected. However, females were a little more likely to be invited to interview, as were serving NICS staff.
- At the interview stage, the analysis shows that more staff aged 25-39 and more current NICS employees than expected passed the interview. Across other equality categories, the profile of those passing the interview was in line with what would be expected.
- Of those candidates who passed the interview, the profile of applicants offered appointment across the equality categories was similar to the expected profile, although candidates aged 25-39 were a little more likely to have been offered a job.

#### **Temporary NICS jobs**

- There were two recruitment competition for temporary NICS jobs. These competitions attracted 213 applications.
- By 1 February 2018, 20 appointments had been made from these competitions. Protestants
  were a little more likely to be appointed. The profile of appointees across the other equality
  categories was broadly in line with the expected profile.
- At the interview stage, more females than expected passed. Otherwise, the outcomes for other equality groups at the interim stages of the competition were broadly in line with what would be expected.

#### **Senior Civil Service jobs**

- There were nine competitions for Senior Civil Service jobs, attracting 198 applications. By 1 February 2018, 6 appointments had been made from these competitions.
- Equal numbers of males and females were appointed.
- In relation to the key interim stages, current NICS employees and candidates aged 50 or over were more likely to be deemed eligible for the competition. The profile of candidates who passed the interview was in line with what would be expected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Had a closing date for applications between 1 January and 31 December 2017.

Figure 1: Flowchart of stages of competitions for permanent NICS jobs in 2017<sup>2</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As at 1 February 2018.

#### 1. Purpose of the report

The purpose of this report is to provide a high-level statistical summary, from an equality perspective, of the recruitment of staff to the Northern Ireland Civil Service (NICS). It provides analysis of applicants across various equality categories and compares the profile of appointees and of candidates remaining in the competitions at interim stages with what might be expected if the groups within each equality category (e.g. males and females) had been equal in merit.

Information is presented on those competitions which had a closing date for applications between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2017.



# 2. NICS recruitment competitions which closed for applications during 2017<sup>3</sup>

#### 2.1 Applications

During 2017, there were 88 NICS recruitment competitions which closed for applications. The total number of applications received was 5,794.

#### 2.1.1 Applications for permanent jobs

Of the 88 recruitment competitions which closed for applications during 2017, 86 were for permanent NICS jobs. These competitions attracted 5,581 applications. A profile of these applicants<sup>4</sup> is presented in Table 1.

Around three out of five applicants were male (59.7%), with females representing 40.3% of applicants. In terms of community background, 47.2% of applications were from Protestants, with a smaller proportion from Catholics (40.6%) and 12.2% from applicants whose community background was not determined. The proportion of applications from minority ethnic groups was 2.2% and the proportion of applicants who declared a disability was 3.0%. In terms of age, over half (55.5%) were aged 25-39, with 19.3% aged 16-24 and 18.1% aged 40-49. Fewer than one in ten applicants were aged 50 or over (7.2%). In terms of sexual orientation, 95.4% of applicants stated their orientation was towards someone of a different sex, with 3.0% reporting orientation towards someone of the same sex and 1.6% reporting orientation towards both sexes. For those applicants who provided their NICS employment history, a fifth (20.3%) reported they were a current NICS employee, 9.8% of applicants reported that they had previously been an NICS employee and 69.9% reported no NICS employment history.

It should be noted that the profile of applicants is dependent on the occupations for which jobs were advertised and the numbers of applications for each competition. A different set of competitions being launched could yield a different applicant profile.

The number of applicants for each of the various competitions analysed ranged from 1 to 1,697.

#### 2.1.2 Applications for temporary jobs

Of the 88 NICS recruitment competitions analysed in this report, two were for temporary NICS jobs. These competitions attracted 213 applications. A profile of these applicants is presented in Table 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Competitions for which applications closed between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2017 are included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In this report, the terms 'applicants' and 'applications' are used more or less interchangeably. Thus someone who applies for, say, two competitions is counted as two applicants.

Three quarters of applications were from males (75.1%) with 24.9% from females. In terms of community background, the largest proportion of applications received was from Catholics (47.4%), with 39.4% from Protestants and 13.1% from applicants whose community background was not determined. The proportion of applications from minority ethnic groups was 3.3% and the proportion of applicants who declared a disability was 3.3%. In terms of age, nine out of ten applicants were aged 16-24 (89.2%). In relation to sexual orientation, 93.0% of applicants stated their orientation was towards someone of a different sex, with 2.8% reporting orientation towards someone of the same sex and 4.2% reporting orientation towards both sexes. A small proportion of applicants reported they were a current NICS employee (0.9%), or had previously been an NICS employee (0.9%) while 98.1% reported no NICS employment history.

#### 2.2 Analysis of appointments from the 2017 recruitment competitions

In analysing the outcomes of recruitment competitions, it is important to ensure that any comparison is made on a 'like with like' basis. For each competition, the profile of applicants has been used to calculate the composition of appointees that would have been expected if the groups of applicants within each equality category (e.g. males and females) had been equal in merit. These results were then added together to obtain the overall 'expected' compositions.

#### 2.2.1 Appointments from competitions held in 2017 for permanent NICS jobs

By 1 February 2018, a total of 264 appointments had been made from 57 of the 86 competitions for permanent NICS jobs, while no appointments had yet been made from the remaining 29 competitions. Analysis of appointments from these 57 competitions reveals some disparities between the actual number of appointees and the number expected if applicants within each category had been equal in merit across a number of equality groups. Details are shown in Table 3.

The gender profile of appointees was broadly in line with what would be expected if male and female applicants were equal in merit. The analysis in terms of community background shows that a few more Protestants than expected were appointed (121 rather than 116), while the number of appointees from a Not Determined community background was lower than expected (25 rather than 30). The profile of appointees in terms of a declared disability was in line with the expected profile. In terms of age there were more appointees than expected in the 25-39 and 50+ age categories, while there were fewer than expected in the 16-24 and 40-49 age categories. The number of appointees with NICS experience was higher than might have been expected (64 rather than 46). Disparities in terms of equality categories between the actual and expected numbers of appointees on an individual competition basis are generally small (typically less than 3), so the appointment of one candidate from a different category could change the outcome of the competition. It should be noted that further appointments may be made from these competitions, which could change the profile of appointees.

#### 2.2.2 Appointments from competitions held in 2017 for temporary NICS jobs

By 1 February 2018, a total of 20 appointments had been made from the two competitions for temporary NICS jobs. Analysis in terms of gender shows that the number of males appointed was a little lower than expected (13 rather than 15) while the number of females appointed was a little higher than expected (7 rather than 5). In terms of community background more Protestants (12 rather than 8) and fewer others (8 rather than 12) than expected were appointed. Details are shown in Table 4. It should be noted that further appointments may be made from these competitions, which could change the profile of appointees.

Table 1: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: profile of applicants

Equality	Description	Applicants	Percentage
Category			
Overall	Total	5,581	
Gender <sup>5</sup>	Male	3,333	59.7%
	Female	2,247	40.3%
Community	Protestant	2,635	47.2%
Background <sup>6</sup>	Catholic	2,265	40.6%
	Not	680	12.2%
	Determined		
Ethnicity <sup>7</sup>	White	5,455	97.8%
	Minority	124	2.2%
	Ethnic		
	Groups		
Disability	With a	165	3.0%
	declared		
	disability		
	Without a	5,416	97.0%
	declared		
	disability		
Age-group <sup>8</sup>	16-24	1,076	19.3%
	25-39	3,095	55.5%
	40-49	1,009	18.1%
	50+	400	7.2%
Sexual	Both sexes	89	1.6%
Orientation <sup>9</sup>	Different sex	5,325	95.4%
	Same sex	165	3.0%
NICS	Current	770	20.3%
employment	Previous	370	9.8%
history <sup>10</sup>	None	2,644	69.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Gender information missing for 1 applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Community background information missing for 1 applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 2 applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications. Age missing for 1 applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 2 applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> NICS employment history missing for 1,797 applicants.

Table 2: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: profile of applicants

Equality	Description	<b>Applicants</b>	Percentage		
Category					
Overall	Total	213			
Gender	Male	160	75.1%		
	Female	53	24.9%		
Community	Protestant	84	39.4%		
Background	Catholic	101	47.4%		
_	Not	28	13.1%		
	Determined				
Ethnicity	White	206	96.7%		
_	Minority	7	3.3%		
	Ethnic				
	Groups				
Disability	With a	7	3.3%		
	declared				
	disability				
	Without a	206	96.7%		
	declared				
	disability				
Age-group <sup>11</sup>	16-24	190	89.2%		
	25-39	20	9.4%		
	40-49	3	1.4%		
	50+	0	0.0%		
Sexual	Both sexes	9	4.2%		
Orientation	Different sex	198	93.0%		
	Same sex	6	2.8%		
NICS	Current	2	0.9%		
employment	Previous	2	0.9%		
history <sup>12</sup>	None	207	98.1%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.<sup>12</sup> NICS employment history missing for 2 applicants.

Table 3: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>13</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success of applicants) <sup>14</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>15</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	264	264	0
Gender	Male Female	165 99	167 97	2 -2
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	116 119 30	121 118 25	5 -1 -5
Ethnicity <sup>16</sup>	White Minority Ethnic Groups	259 5	# *	# #
Disability	With a declared disability Without a	10 254	9 255	-1 1
	declared disability			
Age-group <sup>17</sup>	16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	45 146 50 22	37 156 42 29	-8 10 -8 7
Sexual Orientation	Both sexes/ same sex Different sex	11 253	9 254	-2 1
NICS employment history <sup>19</sup>	Current Previous None	46 20 147	64 16 137	18 -4 -10

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Further appointments may be made from these competitions, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 appointee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of appointees (<5) in the 'both sexes' category. Sexual orientation information missing for 1 appointee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> NICS employment history missing for 47 appointees.

Table 4: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>20</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success of applicants) <sup>21</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>22</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	20	20	0
Gender	Male Female	15 5	13 7	-2 2
Community Background <sup>23</sup>	Protestant Catholic/Not Determined	8 12	12 8	4 -4
Ethnicity	White Minority Ethnic Groups	19 1	# *	# #
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared	1	*	#
	disability			
Age-group <sup>24</sup>	16-24 25-39 40+	18 2 0	18 2 0	0 0 0
Sexual Orientation <sup>25</sup>	Both sexes/ same sex Different sex	2 18	*	#
NICS employment history	Current Previous None	0 0 19	0 0 20	0 0 1

# Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Further appointments may be made from this competition, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The 'Catholic' and 'Not Determined' categories were combined due to small numbers (<5) of appointees in the 'Not Determined' category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to a small number of appointees (<5) in these categories.

## 2.3 Analysis of interim stages of the 2017 recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs

#### 2.3.1 Eligible applicants

Overall, 4,061 of the 5,581 applicants (72.8%) were deemed to be eligible for the competition for which they had applied. For each competition, the profile of applicants has been used to calculate the composition of eligible applicants that would have been expected if the groups of applicants within each equality category (e.g. males and females) had been equal in merit. These results were then added together to obtain the overall 'expected' compositions reported in Table 5.

In general, the analysis revealed only relatively small differences between the actual and expected numbers of eligible applicants. In terms of the equality categories, the largest differences were in relation to community background and gender, with Protestant and female applicants more likely to meet the eligibility criteria. It is also worth noting that current NICS employees were more likely to be eligible.

#### 2.3.2 Applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting

The shortlisting stage can take different forms, depending on the competition, e.g. paper sift, shortlisting test, etc or even a combination of these. Of the 4,061 eligible applicants, a total of 1,057 (26.0%) withdrew prior to shortlisting. The actual and expected numbers of applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting (based on the assumption that eligible applicants in each of the groups are equally likely to withdraw) are presented in Table 6.

The analysis shows that Protestant candidates were less likely to withdraw, while candidates aged 25-39 were more likely to withdraw from the competition prior to shortlisting. In terms of sexual orientation, candidates whose sexual orientation was towards someone of the same sex were more likely to withdraw at this stage. Across the other categories the differences are quite small.

#### 2.3.3 Applicants invited to interview

Following shortlisting, 1,931 (or 64.3%) of the remaining 3,004 candidates were invited to interview.

The analysis presented in Table 7 shows that the profiles of applicants invited to interview in terms of community background, ethnicity, disability, age and sexual orientation were broadly in line with what would be expected if the groups within each equality category were equal in terms of merit. Female candidates were a little more likely to be invited to interview than their male counterparts, while serving NICS staff were more also more likely to be invited to interview.

Table 5: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected eligible applicants<sup>26</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Eligible Applicants (based on proportionate success) <sup>27</sup>	Actual Eligible Applicants	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	4,061	4,061	0
Gender <sup>28</sup>	Male Female	2,382 1,678	2,352 1,708	-30 30
Community	Protestant	1,955	1,992	37
Background <sup>29</sup>	Catholic	1,606	1,597	-9
	Not	499	471	-28
	Determined			
Ethnicity <sup>30</sup>	White	3,972	3,988	16
	Minority	88	71	-17
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a declared disability	117	106	-11
	Without a declared disability	3,944	3,955	11
Age-group <sup>31</sup>	16-24	836	823	-13
3 - 3 P	25-39	2,262	2,261	-1
	40-49	700	707	7
	50+	262	269	7
Sexual	Both sexes	68	72	4
Orientation <sup>32</sup>	Different sex	3,863	3,864	1
	Same sex	128	123	-5
NICS	Current	493	558	65
employment	Previous	247	250	3
history <sup>33</sup>	None	1,670	1,609	-61

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of eligible applicants with missing information within that category.

Gender information missing for 1 eligible applicant.
 Community background information missing for 1 eligible applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 2 eligible applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications. Age information missing for 1 eligible applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 2 eligible applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> NICS employment history missing for 1,644 eligible applicants.

Table 6: Recruitment competitions for NICS permanent jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting<sup>34</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants withdrawn prior to shortlisting (based on proportionate withdrawal) <sup>35</sup>	Actual Applicants withdrawn prior to shortlisting	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	1,057	1,057	0
Gender <sup>36</sup>	Male Female	628 428	620 436	-8 8
Community Background <sup>37</sup>	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	612 290 154	573 316 167	-39 26 13
Ethnicity <sup>38</sup>	White Minority Ethnic Groups	1,036 20	1,032 24	-4 4
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared disability	24 1,033	27 1,030	-3
Age-group <sup>39</sup>	16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	309 571 135 41	282 609 139 26	-27 38 4 -15
Sexual Orientation <sup>40</sup>	Both sexes Different sex Same sex	25 989 43	24 971 61	-1 -18 18
NICS employment history <sup>41</sup>	Current Previous None	25 25 154	20 23 160	-5 -2 6

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate withdrawal rates for each group of eligible applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Gender information missing for 1 applicant who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Community background information missing for 1 applicant who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 applicant who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications. Age information missing for 1 applicant who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 1 applicant who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> NICS employment history missing for 854 applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting.

Table 7: Recruitment competitions for NICS permanent jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants invited to interview<sup>42</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants invited to interview (based on proportionate success) <sup>43</sup>	Actual Applicants invited to interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	1,931	1,931	0
Gender	Male Female	1,182 749	1,168 763	-14 14
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	934 798 200	941 792 198	7 -6 -2
Ethnicity <sup>44</sup>	White Minority Ethnic Groups	1,900 30	1,905 25	5 -5
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared	45 1,886	48 1,883	-3
Age-group <sup>45</sup>	disability 16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	330 1,018 397 186	337 1,014 398 182	7 -4 1 -4
Sexual Orientation <sup>46</sup>	Both sexes Different sex Same sex	33 1,862 35	33 1,863 34	0 1 -1
NICS employment history <sup>47</sup>	Current Previous None	363 132 872	383 128 862	20 -4 -10

#### 2.3.4 Applicants who attended interview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants available for shortlisting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants available for shortlisting with missing information within that category.

<sup>44</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 applicant invited to interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 1 applicant invited to interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> NICS employment history missing for 558 applicants invited to interview.

The profile of candidates who attended interview is compared with the expected profile, based on those candidates who were invited to interview, in Table 8. Of the 1,931 candidates invited to interview, 1,318 (or 68.3%) attended interview.

Some small differences between the actual and expected numbers of applicants in the various equality categories attending interview were observed. The largest difference was in terms of community background, with Protestants a little more likely to attend interview. Candidates with no NICS experience were less likely to attend interview.

#### 2.3.5 Applicants who passed interview

A total of 882 candidates out of the 1,318 who attended interview (66.9%) passed the interview. In Table 9, the profile of candidates who passed the interview (and who may or may not have been offered a job) is presented alongside the profile that would be expected if each group who attended interview were equal in merit.

The analysis shows that more candidates than expected aged 25-39 passed the interview, while fewer candidates aged 16-24 or 40-49 than expected passed. The analysis also shows that current NICS employees were more likely to pass the interview.

#### 2.3.6 Applicants offered appointment

By 1 February 2018, a total of 342 out of the 882 applicants who passed the interview (38.8%) had been offered a job. A profile of those candidates who had been offered a job is presented in Table 10 and compared with the expected profile, based on the profile of the candidates who passed the interview.

The analysis shows the profile of applicants offered appointment across all equality categories was similar to the expected profile. Candidates aged 25-39 were a little more likely to have been offered a job.

#### 2.3.7 Appointed candidates.

Of the 342 applicants offered appointment, 264 (or 77.2%) had started in post by 1 February 2018. A profile of these appointees is compared with the expected profile, if appointments were in line with those offered appointment, in Table 11.

The analysis shows the profile of appointees across all equality categories was very similar to the expected profile. Males were a little more likely to have taken up their post by 1 February 2018, while applicants with previous NICS experience were a little less likely to have taken up their post.

Table 8: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who attended interview<sup>48</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants who attended interview (based on proportionate attendance) <sup>49</sup>	Actual Applicants who attended interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	1,318	1,318	0
Gender	Male Female	781 537	775 543	-6 6
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	607 577 135	629 557 132	22 -20 -3
Ethnicity <sup>50</sup>	White Minority Ethnic Groups	1,301 16	1,304 13	3 -3
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared disability	35 1,283	39 1,279	-4
Age-group <sup>51</sup>	16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	194 699 286 139	193 702 277 146	-1 3 -9 7
Sexual Orientation <sup>52</sup>	Both sexes Different sex Same Sex	20 1,276 21	19 1,276 22	-1 0 1
NICS employment history <sup>53</sup>	Current Previous None	305 98 660	326 101 633	21 3 -27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate attendance rates for each group of applicants invited to interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who attended interview with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 applicant who attended interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 1 applicant who attended interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> NICS employment history missing for 258 applicants who attended interview.

Table 9: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who passed interview<sup>54</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants who passed interview (based on proportionate success) <sup>55</sup>	Actual Applicants who passed interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	882	882	0
Gender	Male Female	524 358	522 360	-2 2
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	414 383 85	413 380 89	-1 -3 4
Ethnicity <sup>56</sup>	White Minority Ethnic Groups	872 9	871 10	-1 1
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared	26 856	24 858	-2 2
	disability			
Age-group <sup>57</sup>	16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	122 473 189 98	111 492 181 98	-11 19 -8 0
Sexual Orientation <sup>58</sup>	Both sexes Different sex Same Sex	12 854 15	11 853 17	-1 -1 2
NICS employment history <sup>59</sup>	Current Previous None	215 67 441	228 66 431	13 -1 -10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants who attended interview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who passed interview with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 applicant who passed the interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 1 applicant who passed the interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> NICS employment history missing for 157 applicants who passed the interview.

Table 10: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants offered appointment<sup>60</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants offered appointment (based on proportionate success) <sup>61</sup>	Actual Applicants offered appointment	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	342	342	0
Gender	Male Female	200 142	205 137	5 -5
Community	Protestant	166	161	-5 -5
Background	Catholic	136	143	7
	Not	40	38	-2
	Determined			
Ethnicity <sup>62</sup>	White	339	#	#
	Minority	3	*	#
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a declared	10	9	-1
	disability			
	Without a	332	333	1
	declared			
	disability			
Age-group <sup>63</sup>	16-24	54	51	-3
	25-39	194	205	11
	40-49	59	53	-6
	50+	35	33	-2
Sexual	Both sexes	6	7	1
Orientation <sup>64</sup>	Different sex	328	328	0
NII O O	Same sex	7	6	-1
NICS	Current	76	74	-2
employment	Previous	25	28	3
history <sup>65</sup>	None	159	158	-1

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants who passed the interview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants offered appointment with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 applicant offered appointment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Sexual orientation information missing for 1 applicant offered appointment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> NICS employment history information missing for 82 applicants offered appointment.

Table 11: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>66</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success) <sup>67</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>68</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	264	264	0
Gender	Male	162	167	5
	Female	102	97	-5
Community	Protestant	121	121	0
Background	Catholic	115	118	3
	Not	28	25	-3
	Determined			
Ethnicity <sup>69</sup>	White	262	#	#
	Minority	1	*	#
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a	8	9	1
	declared			
	disability			
	Without a	256	255	-1
	declared			
	disability			
Age-group <sup>70</sup>	16-24	37	37	0
5 5 1	25-39	159	156	-3
	40-49	41	42	1
	50+	27	29	2
Sexual	Both sexes/	10	9	-1
Orientation <sup>71</sup>	same sex			
	Different sex	253	254	1
NICS	Current	62	64	2
employment	Previous	22	16	-6
history <sup>72</sup>	None	133	137	4

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants offered appointment.

appointment.

67 Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Further appointments may be made from these competitions, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 1 appointee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of appointees (<5) in the 'both sexes' category. Sexual orientation information missing for 1 appointee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> NICS employment history missing for 47 appointees.

## 2.4 Analysis of interim stages of the 2017 recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs

#### 2.4.1 Eligible applicants

Overall, 198 of the 213 applicants (93.0%) were deemed eligible for the competition for which they had applied.

In Table 12, the profile of eligible applicants is compared with the profile that might be expected if the groups of applicants with each equality category had been equal in merit. The analysis shows that the number of candidates aged 16-24 who were eligible was a little higher than expected.

#### 2.4.2 Applicants who withdrew prior to shortlisting

No applicants withdrew prior to shortlisting.

#### 2.4.3 Applicants invited to interview

All eligible applicants were invited to interview.

#### 2.4.4 Applicants who attended interview

A total of 116 of the 198 applicants (or 58.6%) invited to interview actually attended. In Table 13, the profile of the 116 candidates who attended interview is compared with the expected profile, based on those candidates who were invited to interview.

The analysis shows that in terms of community background, the number of Catholics who attended interview was lower than expected, while the number of Protestants was a little higher than expected. For the other equality categories no noteworthy differences were observed.

Table 12: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected eligible applicants<sup>73</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Eligible Applicants (based on proportionate success) <sup>74</sup>	Actual Eligible Applicants	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	198	198	0
Gender	Male	149	148	-1
	Female	49	50	1
Community	Protestant	78	78	0
Background	Catholic	94	95	1
	Not	26	25	-1
	Determined			
Ethnicity	White	192	192	0
	Minority	6	6	0
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a declared disability	7	7	0
	Without a declared disability	191	191	0
Age-group <sup>75</sup>	16-24	177	182	5
	25-39	19	16	-3
	40-49	3	0	-3
	50+	0	0	0
Sexual	Both sexes/	14	13	-1
Orientation <sup>76</sup>	same sex			
	Different sex	184	185	1
NICS	Current	2	0	-2
employment	Previous	2	2	0
history <sup>77</sup>	None	193	195	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of eligible applicants with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of eligible applicants (<5) in the 'same sex' category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> NICS employment history missing for 1 eligible applicant.

Table 13: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who attended interview<sup>78</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants who attended interview (based on proportionate attendance) <sup>79</sup>	Actual Applicants who attended interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	116	116	0
Gender	Male Female	87 29	89 27	2 -2
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	46 56 15	53 45 18	7 -11 3
Ethnicity	White Minority Ethnic Groups	113 3	111 5	-2 2
Disability	With a declared disability Without a declared disability	4 112	7 109	-3
Age-group <sup>80</sup>	16-24 25-39 40-49 50+	107 9 0 0	107 9 0 0	0 0 0 0
Sexual Orientation <sup>81</sup>	Both sexes/ same sex Different sex	8 108	10 106	2 -2
NICS employment history <sup>82</sup>	Current Previous None	0 1 114	0 0 115	0 -1 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate attendance rates for each group of applicants invited to interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who attended interview with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of eligible applicants (<5) in the 'same sex' category.

<sup>82</sup> NICS employment history missing for 1 applicant who attended interview.

#### 2.4.5 Applicants who passed interview

Of the 116 candidates who attended interview, 84 candidates (72.4%) passed the interview. In Table 14, the profile of candidates who passed the interview (and who may or may not have been offered a job) is presented alongside the profile that would be expected if each group who attended interview were equal in merit.

The analysis shows that a higher than expected number of females passed the interview.

#### 2.4.6 Applicants offered appointment

By 1 February 2018, a total of 20 out of the 84 applicants who passed the interview had been offered a job (23.8%). A profile of these candidates is presented in Table 15 and compared with the profile that would be expected if candidates in each group who passed the interview were equal in merit.

The analysis shows no noteworthy differences between the actual and expected profiles of applicants offered appointment.

#### 2.4.7 Appointed candidates.

By 1 February 2018, all 20 applicants offered appointment had been appointed.

Table 14: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who passed interview<sup>83</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants who passed interview (based on proportionate success)84	Actual Applicants who passed interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	84	84	0
Gender	Male Female	64 20	59 25	-5 5
Community Background	Protestant Catholic Not Determined	38 33 13	40 32 12	2 -1 -1
Ethnicity	White Minority Ethnic Groups	80 4	# *	# #
Disability	With a declared disability	5	5	0
	Without a declared disability	79	79	0
Age-group <sup>85</sup>	16-24 25-39	78 6	78 6	0 0
	40-49	0	0	0
	50+	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation <sup>86</sup>	Both sexes/	7	6	-1
Orientation	same sex Different sex	77	78	1
NICS	Current	0	0	0
employment history <sup>87</sup>	Previous None	0 83	0 83	0 0
		a avoid disclosing and		

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants who attended interview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who passed interview with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of applicants who passed the interview (<5) in these categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> NICS employment history missing for 1 applicant who passed the interview.

Table 15: Recruitment competitions for temporary NICS jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants offered appointment<sup>88</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants offered appointment (based on proportionate success)89	Actual Applicants offered appointment	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	20	20	0
Gender	Male	14	13	-1
	Female	6	7	1
Community	Protestant	9	12	3
Background <sup>90</sup>	Catholic/Not	11	8	-3
	Determined			
Ethnicity	White	19	#	#
	Minority	1	*	#
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a	1	*	#
	declared			
	disability	40	,,	,,
	Without a	19	#	#
	declared			
A 91	disability	40	40	4
Age-group <sup>91</sup>	16-24	19	18	-1
	25-39	1	2	1
	40-49 50+	0 0	0 0	0 0
Sexual	Both sexes/	2	*	#
Orientation <sup>92</sup>	same sex	2		#
Onemation	Different sex	18	#	#
NICS	Current	0	0	0
employment	Previous	0	0	0
history	None	20	20	0
		o avoid disclosing a		

# Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants who passed the interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants offered appointment with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> The 'Catholic' and 'Not Determined' categories were combined due to small numbers (<5) of appointees in the 'Not Determined' category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of applicants offered appointment (<5) in these categories.



# 3. NICS Senior Civil Service recruitment competitions which closed for applications during 2017 93

#### 3.1 Applications

A total of nine Senior Civil Service competitions with a closing date for applications in 2017 were held. The total number of applications received was 198. A profile of the applicants is presented in Table 16.

Over half of applications were from males (54.5%), with 45.5% of applications from females. In terms of community background, similar proportions of applications were from Protestants (44.9%) and Catholics (46.0%), with 9.1% from candidates whose community background was not determined. The proportion of applicants from a minority ethnic background was 3.0%. In terms of age, around half of applicants were aged 40-49 (49.0%) with a third (33.8%) aged 50 or over and 17.2% aged under 40. Over two fifths of candidates (44.1%) reported that they were a current NICS employee, with a similar proportion (42.9%) reporting no NICS employment history.

It should be noted that the profile of applicants may vary in accordance with the posts advertised. A different set of competitions being launched could yield a different applicant profile.

#### 3.2 Appointments

By 1 February 2018, a total of 6 candidates had been appointed from four competitions, while no appointments had yet been made from the other five competitions. Given the small number of appointments, no analysis is presented. Equal numbers of males and females were appointed, which was in line with what would be expected given the applicant profile of the competitions which made appointments. It should be noted that further appointments may be made from these competitions, which could change the profile of appointees.

Page 29

<sup>93</sup> Competitions for which applications closed between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2017 are included.

Table 16: Recruitment competitions for permanent NICS Senior Civil Service jobs with application closing date in 2017: profile of applicants

Equality Category	Description	Applicants	Percentage
Overall	Total	198	
Gender	Male Female	108 90	54.5% 45.5%
Community	Protestant	89	44.9%
Background	Catholic Not	91 18	46.0% 9.1%
F41 1 14	Determined	100	07.00/
Ethnicity	White	192	97.0%
	Minority Ethnic Groups	6	3.0%
Dischility	With a	*	#
Disability	declared disability Without a declared disability	#	#
Age-group <sup>94</sup>	16-24	0	0.0%
	25-39	34	17.2%
	40-49 50+	97 67	49.0% 33.8%
Sexual	Both sexes/	*	33.6% #
Orientation <sup>95</sup>	same sex		#
	Different sex	#	#
NICS	Current	78	44.1%
employment	Previous	23	13.0%
history <sup>96</sup>	None	76	42.9%

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

 <sup>94</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.
 95 The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers in these categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> NICS employment history missing for 21 applicants.

## 3.3 Analysis of key interim stages of the 2017 recruitment competitions for NICS Senior Civil Service jobs

#### 3.3.1 Eligible applicants

Overall, 90 of the 198 applicants (45.5%) were deemed eligible for the competition for which they had applied.

In Table 17, the profile of eligible applicants is compared with the profile that might be expected if the groups of applicants with each equality category had been equal in merit. The most noteworthy finding from the analysis is that current NICS employees were more likely to meet the eligibility criteria. Candidates aged 50 or over were also more likely to be eligible.

#### 3.3.3 Applicants who passed interview

A total of 38 candidates out of the 69 who attended interview (55.1%) passed the interview. In Table 18, the profile of candidates who passed the interview (and who may or may not have been offered a job) is presented alongside the profile that would be expected if each group who attended interview were equal in merit.

For the groups within each equality category, the number who passed the interview was broadly in line with what would be expected if the groups within each equality category were equal in merit.

Table 17: Recruitment competitions for NICS Senior Civil Service jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected eligible applicants<sup>97</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Eligible Applicants (based on proportionate success) <sup>98</sup>	Actual Eligible Applicants	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	90	90	0
Gender	Male	50	46	-4
	Female	40	44	4
Community	Protestant	37	38	1
Background	Catholic	43	45	2
	Not	10	7	-3
	Determined			
Ethnicity	White	87	#	#
	Minority	3	*	#
	Ethnic			
	Groups			
Disability	With a	2	*	#
	declared			
	disability			
	Without a	88	#	#
	declared			
- 00	disability			
Age-group <sup>99</sup>	16-24	0	0	0
	25-39	16	13	-3
	40-49	42	36	-6
0 1	50+	32	<u>41</u>	9
Sexual	Both sexes/	2	*	#
Orientation <sup>100</sup>	same sex	00	ш	ш
	Different	88	#	#
NICS	Sex	22	4E	40
	Current	33 10	45 10	12 0
employment history <sup>101</sup>	Previous			•
	None	34	20	-14

<sup>#</sup> Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of eligible applicants with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of eligible applicants (<5) in these categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> NICS employment history missing for 15 eligible applicants.

Table 18: Recruitment competitions for NICS Senior Civil Service jobs with application closing date in 2017: comparison of actual and expected applicants who passed interview<sup>102</sup>

Equality Category	Description	'Expected' Applicants who passed interview (based on proportionate success) <sup>103</sup>	Actual Applicants who passed interview	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	38	38	0
Gender	Male	20	22	2
	Female	18	16	-2
Community	Protestant	16	19	3
Background	Catholic	17	14	-3
	Not	5	5	0
	Determined			
Ethnicity	White	37	#	#
	Minority	1	*	#
	Ethnic Groups			
Disability	With a declared	1	*	#
	disability			
	Without a	37	#	#
	declared			
	disability			
Age-group <sup>104</sup>	16-24	0	0	0
	25-39	5	4	-1
	40-49	12	12	0
	50+	21	22	1
Sexual	Both sexes/	0	*	#
Orientation <sup>105</sup>	same sex			
	Different sex	38	#	#
NICS	Current	23	25	2
employment	Previous	3	2	-1
history <sup>106</sup>	None	9	8 *h o r n una h o r th o	-1

# Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants who attended interview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of applicants who passed interview with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers (<5) who passed the interview in the 'both sexes' category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> NICS employment history missing for 3 applicants who passed the interview.

Analysis of NICS Recruitment Competitions 1 January 2017 – 31 December 2017

PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

#### 4. Further Information

#### 4.1 Information on Quality

Relevance to users

1. The consultation with key stakeholders indicated that both the coverage and content are appropriate to the needs of users.

Accuracy

2. Coverage of applicants is believed to be 100%: applicants generally applied via the computer system from which the data have been extracted.

Accessibility and Clarity

3. The publication is available on the NISRA website.

Assessment of User Needs and Perceptions

4. We have ongoing engagement with the Civil Service Commissioners for Northern Ireland, who are major users of the report. Specific suggestions have been taken on board where possible.

Performance, Cost and Respondent Burden

5. The operational cost (staff time) of producing each issue is approximately £5,000. There is no respondent burden, since the data are held on an administrative system.

Confidentiality, Transparency and Security

6. Data are held on a network that is only accessible to the few statisticians who need access. Personal information is not released for applicants or appointees where the cell count is less than 5.

#### 4.2 Next Publication:

March 2019

#### 4.3 Further Information

All media enquiries should be directed to DoF Communications Office: 028 9081 6724 or 028 9081 6895.

Further statistical information can be obtained from: Nigel Wilson, NISRA Human Resource Consultancy Services, Colby House, Stranmillis Court, Belfast, BT9 5RR

Telephone: 028 9038 8438

E-mail: nigel.wilson@nisra.gov.uk

# ANNEX A – Departmental information and updated appointee profiles for competitions held during 2014, 2015 and 201

#### A.1 Departmental Information

#### Notes on tables

The following tables provide an update on the number and profile of appointees from NICS competitions with a closing date for applications during 2014, 2015 and 2016, as well as high-level departmental information for these years, and for 2017. The figures are as at 1 February 2018 and there may still be further appointments from these competitions, which could change the profile of appointees. The profile of appointees is compared with the profile that would be expected if candidates in each group of applicants were equal in merit.

In addition, departmental applicant and appointee figures are provided. For the purpose of this report, all applicants and all appointees for a particular competition are included in the figures for a department which made an appointment from a competition. For example someone who applies for a competition from which appointments are made in two departments will be included in the applicant (and if appropriate appointee) figures for each of these two departments.

Some competitions are resources for all departments and so are counted as corporate competitions in this report.

For competitions with a closing date in 2016, reporting is done in terms of the departments which came into being on 9 May 2016, since posts were advertised either by the new department or relate to a function which transferred directly to a new department.

2017				
	Number of competitions included in the analysis <sup>107</sup>	Applications	Appointments by 1 February 2018	
NICS	88	5,794	284	
DAERA	13	391	15	
DfC	4	424	5	
DfE	2	103	7	
DE	0	0	0	
DoF	26	1,058	85	
DoH	6	68	2	
Dfl	13	607	39	
DoJ	10	2,511	76	
TEO	1	4	0	
PPS	2	173	15	
Other NICS organisations	3	113	5	
Corporate competitions	9	615	51	

 $<sup>^{107}</sup>$  One competition is included in both the DoF and DoJ figures, as both departments recruited from the same competition.

	2016				
	Number of competitions included in the analysis	Applications	Appointments by 1 February 2018		
NICS	51	4,131	419		
DAERA	9	127	11		
DfC	2	1,267	176		
DfE	1	9	2		
DE	4	54	6		
DoF	12	562	69		
DoH	3	32	2		
Dfl	5	770	37		
DoJ	5	555	18		
TEO	2	234	4		
Other NICS organisations	3	70	2		
Corporate competitions	5	451	92		

	2015				
	Number of competitions included in the analysis	Applications	Appointments by 1 February 2018		
NICS	21	4,579	274		
DARD	1	43	1		
DCAL	4	96	5		
DE	0	0	0		
DEL	0	0	0		
DETI	0	0	0		
DFP	4	44	4		
DHSSPS	2	19	1		
DOE	1	9	1		
DOJ	3	3,723	225		
DRD	1	3	1		
DSD	0	0	0		
OFMDFM	1	6	1		
PPS	2	288	2		
Other NICS organisations	0	0	0		
Corporate competitions	2	348	33		

	2014		
	Number of competitions included in the analysis 108	Applications	Appointments by 1 February 2018 <sup>109</sup>
NICS	71	6,192	169
DARD	13	858	15
DCAL	4	435	11
DE	10	159	16
DEL	2	84	3
DETI	0	0	0
DFP	18	760	20
DHSSPS	5	33	3
DOE	5	390	58
DOJ	5	238	10
DRD	9	437	22
DSD	1	28	3
OFMDFM	1	19	0
PPS	2	143	7
Other NICS organisations	1	2	0
Corporate competitions	2	2,928	25

<sup>108</sup> Five competitions are included in more than one Department's figures.109 Note that the number of appointments is unchanged from that at 1 February 2017 in the previous report.

Table A.1: Recruitment competitions for NICS jobs with application closing date in 2016: applicants and comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>110</sup>

Equality Category	Description	Applicants	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success of applicants) <sup>111</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>112</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	4,131	419	419	0
Gender	Male	2,432	241	230	-11
	Female	1,699	178	189	11
Community	Protestant	1,805	182	206	24
Background	Catholic	1,909	199	183	-16
	Not Determined	417	38	30	-8
Ethnicity	White	4,070	413	#	#
	Minority Ethnic	61	6	*	#
	Groups				
Disability	With a declared disability	146	17	12	-5
	Without a	3,985	402	407	5
	declared disability				
Age-group <sup>113</sup>	16-24	889	93	82	-11
	25-39	2,282	237	250	13
	40-49	609	56	58	2
	50+	351	32	29	-3
Sexual	Both sexes	76	7	6	-1
Orientation	Different sex	3,916	398	397	-1
	Same sex	139	14	16	2
NICS	Current	559	65	88	23
employment	Previous	403	47	43	-4
history <sup>114</sup>	None	3,055	296	270	-26

As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.
 Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Further appointments may be made from these competitions, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> NICS employment history missing for 114 applicants and 18 appointees.

Table A.2: Recruitment competitions for NICS jobs with application closing date in 2015: applicants and comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>115</sup>

Equality Category	Description	Applicants	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success of applicants) <sup>116</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>117</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	4,579	274	274	0
Gender	Male	2,824	176	177	1
	Female	1,755	98	97	-1
Community	Protestant	2,696	161	165	4
Background	Catholic	1,323	79	64	-15
	Not Determined	560	33	45	12
Ethnicity	White	4,512	269	274	5
	Minority Ethnic Groups	67	5	0	-5
Disability	With a declared disability	110	7	8	1
	Without a declared disability	4,469	267	266	-1
Age-group <sup>118</sup>	16-24	1,519	97	92	-5
	25-39	2,191	129	143	14
	40-49	609	35	28	-7
	50+	260	13	11	-2
Sexual Orientation <sup>119</sup>	Both sexes/ same sex	243	16	12	-4
	Different sex	4,336	258	262	4
NICS	Current	104	4	13	9
employment	Previous	53	3	4	1
history <sup>120</sup>	None	748	46	56	10

115 As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>117</sup> Further appointments may be made from these competitions, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of appointees (<5) in the 'both sexes' category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> NICS employment history missing for 3,674 applicants and 201 appointees.

Table A.3: Recruitment competitions for NICS jobs with application closing date in 2014: applicants and comparison of actual and expected appointees<sup>121,122</sup>

Equality Category	Description	Applicants	'Expected' Appointees (based on proportionate success of applicants) <sup>123</sup>	Actual Appointees <sup>124</sup>	Difference (Actual minus 'Expected')
Overall	Total	6,192	169	169	0
Gender <sup>125</sup>	Male	3,567	127	109	18
	Female	2,620	42	60	-18
Community	Protestant	2,526	71	64	-7
Background	Catholic	3,229	83	98	15
	Not Determined	437	14	7	-7
Ethnicity <sup>126</sup>	White	6,068	166	#	#
	Minority Ethnic Groups	114	3	*	#
Disability	With a declared disability	206	3	*	#
	Without a declared disability	5,986	166	#	#
Age-group <sup>127</sup>	16-24	1,755	44	47	3
	25-39	3,280	75	70	-5
	40-49	777	31	38	7
	50+	377	19	14	-5
Sexual Orientation 128	Both sexes/ same sex	222	3	5	2
	Different sex	5,966	166	164	-2
NICS	Current	571	17	27	10
employment	Previous	292	9	10	1
history <sup>129</sup>	None	2,742	140	128	-12

# Number has been suppressed, to avoid disclosing another number that is too small to publish.

<sup>\*</sup> Number of cases too small to publish (i.e. below 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> As of 1 February 2018. Based on proportionate success rates for each group of applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Number of appointees unchanged from the previous report, which provided the number of appointees at 1 February 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Totals within an equality category may not sum to overall total due to rounding or an expected number of appointees with missing information within that category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Further appointments may be made from these competitions, which may change the profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Gender information missing for 5 applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Ethnicity information missing for 10 applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Based on age at closing date for applications. Age missing/invalid for 3 applicants.

<sup>128</sup> The 'both sexes' and 'same sex' categories were combined due to small numbers of appointees (<5) in these categories. Sexual orientation information missing for 4 applicants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> NICS employment history missing for 2,587 applicants and 4 appointees.