

Department for Infrastructure



www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk

Analysis, Statistics and Research Branch

Department for Infrastructure Clarence Court 10-18 Adelaide Street Belfast

Contact: Helen Irwin Tel: 028 90 540 805 Email: asrb@nisra.gov.uk

International Comparison of Road Traffic Fatalities, 2018

CONTENTS

Introduction	<u>Page 1</u>
Northern Ireland in Focus	<u>Page 2</u>
Recent trend in Northern Ireland	<u>Page 3</u>
International Trend	Page 4
Context behind the trends	<u>Page 6</u>
What has caused the levelling off in Road traffic fatalities?	<u> Page 6</u>
Why are come countries immune?	<u>Page 8</u>
Recommendations from the International Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group (IRTAD)	Page 9
A Safe System Approach	Page 9
Legislate and Enforce Road Safety Standards	<u>Page 9</u>
Ireland - Winner of the 2019 Road Safety	
Performance Index Award	<u>Page 10</u>
nex	Page 11
	Introduction Northern Ireland in Focus Recent trend in Northern Ireland International Trend Context behind the trends What has caused the levelling off in Road traffic fatalities? Why are come countries immune? Recommendations from the International Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group (IRTAD) A Safe System Approach Legislate and Enforce Road Safety Standards Ireland - Winner of the 2019 Road Safety Performance Index Award





International comparison of Road Traffic Fatalities

1. Introduction

Map 1: Global Rate of Road Traffic Fatalities per 100,000 population, 2016



Source: World Health Organisation (WHO) Global Status Report on Road Safety, 2018

The most recent year for which an almost complete set of global road traffic fatalities data is available is 2016 (as reported in the World Health Organisation (WHO) Global Status Report on Road Safety, 2018). Map 1 shows the rate of fatalities per 100,000 population.

The rate of death varies widely between the different regions and countries of the world. The global rate of road traffic fatalities is 18.2 per 100,000 population; however, this ranges widely from 2.7 in Norway to 35.9 in Liberia. See Table A1 in the Annex for a full list.

There is a strong association between the risk of a road traffic death and the income level of the country. The risk is more than 3 times higher in low-income countries (27.5) than high-income countries (8.3). Taking vehicles in to account further emphasises this point: although only 1% of motor vehicles are in low-income countries, 13% of road traffic deaths occurred in these countries.

Figure 1: Fatality Rate by WHO regions, 2016



2. Northern Ireland in Focus



Map 2: Rate of Road Traffic Fatalities per 100,000 population, 2018

Because the road traffic fatality rate varies so widely throughout the world, the remaining analysis will focus on a smaller subset of countries, examining the rate in Northern Ireland compared with similar countries (close in geography and/or income levels). The International Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group (IRTAD) database and the European Transport Safety Council Annual Road Safety Performance Index Report provides the relevant data. In this case, the most recently available data is 2018. Northern Ireland has a similar rate to ROI and GB¹ (2.9 compared with 3.0 and 2.7, respectively). Elsewhere in Europe, Norway has the lowest rate (2.0), while Romania and Bulgaria have the highest rates (9.6 and 8.7, respectively).

The rate in the Americas is generally higher than in Europe (Argentina, 12.0; USA, 11.4). See Figure 2 below.



¹ GB data for 2018 are the provisional total for year ending June 2018.

^{*}National provisional estimates used for 2018, as the finalised figures were not yet available. ** Data for 2018 not yet available – 2017 data has been used.

2.1 Recent trend in Northern Ireland



Figure 3: Number of road traffic fatalities in Northern Ireland, 2004-2018 (rolling average)

Northern Ireland's Road Safety Strategy (NIRSS) to 2020 outlines the key road safety challenges to be addressed by government between 2010 and 2020. It identified four casualty reduction targets – the first of which is to reduce the number of people killed in road collisions by at least 60% by 2020. Figure 3 shows the five-year rolling average.

At the beginning of the strategy, the number of fatalities fell steeply – from the baseline figure of 126 in 2004-2008, to 60 in 2010-2014. At this point it seemed that the strategy target was within reach. However, since the middle of the current decade, the number of fatalities has levelled off. This same trend is seen in a large number of the strategy's targets and Key Performance Indicators – for example the number of people seriously injured and the number of persons aged 16-24 killed or seriously injured. See https://www.infrastructure-

<u>ni.gov.uk/articles/northern-ireland-road-safety-</u> strategy-2020-statistics.

2.2 International Trend

The percentage change in International fatality rates since a baseline average (2004-2008) is presented in Figure 4 below. The order of the countries remains the same as in Figure 2 (rate of fatalities per 100,000 population in 2018 in ascending order). As can be seen, there has been significant progress in the majority of countries – the fatality rate in the EU28 countries has decreased by an average of 43% between 2004-2008 and 2014-2018; in comparison, the rate in NI has decreased by 49% in the same time period. Interestingly, some countries that remain

in the Orange Zone (6.1-8.0 fatalities per 100,000 population in 2018) have experienced some of the largest decreases - the rate in Lithuania has decreased by 65%; Latvia has decreased by 55%. Chile and the USA have experienced the smallest decreases (16% and 20%, respectively). Alternatively, figure A1 (page 18) in the Annex plots the progress for each country in reducing the mortality rate.

Figure 4: Percentage change in fatality rate from 2004-2008 (Baseline) to 2014-2018





Figure 5a: Rate of Fatalities in Selected Countries, 2004-2018 - Constant decreasing trend line

Figure 5b: Rate of Fatalities in Selected Countries, 2004-2018 – Decreasing then Levelled Off Trend



While it is clear from Figure 4 that countries have experienced a decrease in fatality rate since 2004-2008, the trends over time tells a mixed story. In some countries, the trend line generally decreases constantly over time; in other countries, the trend line is similar to that in NI (early decrease followed by levelling off). Figures 5a and 5b plot some example trend lines for each group – see Table A2 and Figures A2-A45 in the Annex for the full breakdown.

The number of countries with a trend like that in Figure 5b is far greater than those countries with a steady downward trend. In fact, of the 42 countries included in this analysis, roughly two-thirds demonstrated a decreasing trend followed by levelling off or reversal. The 2018 IRTAD Annual Report states:

"Traffic fatalities in 2016 were down 3.6% compared to 2010. If the United States are excluded, the reduction was nearly 15%. However, most of the improvement was achieved at the beginning of the current decade. Since 2015, progress has slowed down markedly and a number of countries have experienced a reversal. Compared to 2014, the year with the lowest traffic death toll on record for IRTAD countries in the past three decades, the death toll was 5.6% higher in 2016.... The long-term trend is positive but very far from sufficient to achieve international road safety objectives"

Following on from this, two questions arise:

- What has caused the levelling off in road traffic fatalities?
- Why are some countries immune from this levelling off?

The following section discusses possible answers.

3 Context behind the trends

3.1 What has caused the levelling off in road traffic fatalities?

The IRTAD Annual Report mentions four potential factors; however, it must be noted that these are not exhaustive and the policy context specific to NI should be considered when trying to fully understand any reasons behind the time series:

1 The economic downturn and recovery

"The aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis was associated with a decrease in the number of road deaths. The decline of economic activity may have contributed to about two thirds of the overall reduction in the years 2008 to 2010 (see <u>Why Does Road Safety Improve When</u> <u>Economic Times Are Hard? ITF 2015</u>). Conversely, the economic recovery from 2013 onwards was accompanied by a significant increase in the number of road deaths as motorised travel picked up again." (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018).

Figure 6: Road Traffic Fatalities in NI and the Northern Ireland Composite Economic Index (NICEI), 2006-2018



As can be seen in Figure 6, the IRTAD findings seem to apply to NI: when Economic Activity declined, fatality numbers fell. Post 2013, the economy began to grow, and so too did fatalities.

2 The increased popularity of cycling

"Countries that collect data on cycling have registered a strong increase in kilometres cycled over the past years. In several cases, this development is associated with significantly higher numbers of fatal cycling crashes. Studies show that the overall public health benefits of more cycling outweigh negative health impacts of increased crash risk (see <u>Cycling, Health and Safety, ITF 2013</u>). Nevertheless, the growing number of cyclists requires new approaches to traffic management and investment into safe cycling infrastructure to improve road safety and reduce fatalities and injuries." (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018).

In NI, the data show that miles travelled by cyclists has been generally increasing. At the same time, pedal cycle KSIs have also increased.

Figure 7: Pedal Cycle Miles Travelled and Pedal Cycle KSIs in NI, 2006-2018



Note: Error bar shows the 95% confidence range around the central estimate of miles travelled.

3 A slack in enforcement of traffic rules

"Several countries report a lower intensity of enforcement measures. In some cases, this is due to a shift in the police forces' priorities. Less strict enforcement of traffic rules is likely to encourage dangerous driving behaviour, notably speeding and drink-driving, and ultimately leads to more crashes and traffic deaths." (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018).

Figure 8 below shows the trend of fatalities in NI plotted alongside police recorded motoring offences. The number of motoring offences rose from 2007-2011 to 2011-2015, while at the same time fatality numbers fell. In recent years, both trends have reversed.

Figure 8: Road Traffic Fatalities and PSNI Recorded Motoring Offences in NI, 2007-2018



4 The rise of distracted driving

"Several countries mention a marked increase in the number of crashes due to the use of mobile phone or other digital devices while driving. Empirical evidence is patchy in the absence of standardised data to monitor the impact of distraction on driving. The available information supports the view, however, that distracted driving is developing into a major road safety risk that requires a more systematic response." (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018).

As reported by IRTAD, empirical evidence on collisions caused by mobile phone usage is sparse. In Northern Ireland, collision causation is input by PSNI officers investigating the collision - by the time police attend the scene, the phone may be removed or secured elsewhere. It is only in the case of a fatality that police powers to examine a phone are held to be reasonable and proportionate to the potential intrusion that a mobile phone examination would entail. As it stands, in the five years 2014-2018, mobile phone causations (principal or secondary) were recorded in only 6 fatalities (2%) and 14 serious injuries (0.4%) – but it is probably the case where these numbers were higher.

Internationally, there are some data available from observational studies on mobile phone use in vehicles – however, these studies are costly to run and are therefore not conducted regularly. In Northern Ireland, there are some self-reporting survey data available. In the 2017/18 Continuous Household Survey, 7% of drivers reported using their phone to make a hand-held call in a moving vehicle in the previous 12 months; 10% reported quickly checking their phone; 6% admitted they had sent a text message and 2% said they had checked their email/social media. This was the first time these questions had been included, so there is no trend data yet available.

3.2 Why are some countries seemingly immune?

After examining four of the potential factors which may influence road traffic casualties, it is also worth considering why some countries continue to see falling fatality numbers when other countries have seen numbers level off or increase. Again, the IRTAD discusses the issue – and although exact reasons are not known, and will vary from country to country, they state two probable policy areas which may lead to answers:

- Effective road safety policies are more resilient to other factors (like unemployment); and
- Effective labour market policies limit the rise of unemployment.

"Considerable differences between countries exist with respect to how sensitive the number of traffic fatalities is to changes in unemployment. In some countries changes in unemployment were associated with large changes in the number of fatalities, for instance in Sweden and in the United States. In other countries, like France and Japan, fluctuations in unemployment hardly affected the long-term declining trend in the number of fatalities. (See Figure 9 for NI trends).

Why is the relationship strong in some countries and weak in others? The answer to this question probably lies in two policy areas. On the one hand, if road safety policy is effective, i.e. it succeeds in bringing about a sustained reduction in the number of traffic fatalities year after year, it may be more resilient to the impacts of other factors like unemployment than where road safety policies have been less effective. On the other hand, labour market policies may be more impactful in some countries than in others. An effective labour market policy limits the rise of unemployment and keeps its fluctuations over time within a narrow range – potentially so much so, that unemployment will not have a large influence on the number of traffic fatalities." (Why Does Road Safety Improve When Economic Times Are Hard? (ITF, 2015))

Figure 9: Road traffic fatalities and unemployment rate in NI, 2008-2018



Figure 9 above shows how the unemployment rate in NI compares with the trend in road traffic fatalities - with an increase in unemployment rate seemingly being associated with a decrease in fatalities, and vice-versa.

<u>4 Recommendations from the International</u> <u>Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group</u> (IRTAD)

The IRTAD presents a number of recommendations for reducing the number of road deaths effectively and quickly, stating that countries with the best results have already put them in to practice.

<u>4.1 A Safe System Approach (SSA)</u> - recommended by both the WHO and the OECD

"The plateauing of past downward trends in some well-performing countries suggests that tried and tested approaches to reduce traffic fatalities may be reaching the limits of their effectiveness. A step change is needed to create a new live-saving dynamic. The Safe System offers such a perspective: centred on forgiving errors and containing crash energy levels below the limits that cause catastrophic harm, this systematic and integrated way of dealing with crash risk in the road system promises opportunities to unlock higher levels of safety for all road users." (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018)

In essence, the theory of Safe Systems accepts that even the most conscientious person will make a mistake at some point, and the goal is to ensure that these mistakes do not lead to a crash; or, if a crash does occur, it is sufficiently controlled to not cause a death or a life-changing injury. It is a shared responsibility between stakeholders (road users, road managers, vehicle manufacturers, etc.) to take appropriate actions to ensure that road collisions do not lead to serious or fatal injuries.

4.2 Legislate and Enforce Road Safety Standards

"Even with a shift to Safe System thinking, priorities remain to: **ensure appropriate speeds, foster seatbelt and helmet use, and act against drink-driving**. (IRTAD Annual Report, 2018)

Speed

The 2018 annual report states that speed management is critical to any road safety strategy – reducing speed will reduce collision frequency and severity. In France road traffic fatalities reduced by 5.8% between 2017 and 2018, and this was largely attributed to the reduction of the legal speed limit on rural roads from 90km/h to 80km/h. The new law came in to force in July 2018 - there were 127 fewer road deaths on rural roads limited to the new 80 km/h speed limit compared to the 2013-2017 average on the same roads for the months July to December. The same comparison for the rest of the French road network shows an improvement of only 15 deaths, which is not a significant change. (Road Safety Performance Index Report, 2019)²

BAC levels

The IRTAD Annual Report claims that there has been little progress in reducing the proportion of crashes caused by drink-driving, remaining stable around 20%-30%. In NI, the proportion of fatal collisions caused by drink driving is lower – around 15% in 2014-2018 - but it does remain around this level. The IRTAD recommends that blood alcohol content (BAC) levels are set and enforced, noting that most countries already have established BAC levels, but that the maximum legal level varies by country. It also notes that most countries apply lower BAC levels for

² See Speed and Crash Risk for more information on the correlation between speed and crash risk <u>https://www.itf-oecd.org/speed-crash-risk</u>

novice and professional drivers, which is something that NI is currently planning to introduce. The IRTAD also states that "Collecting better data on the role of alcohol in road crashes will help countries to address this persistent problem more effectively"³ –the ASRB analysis on fatal and serious collisions caused by drink-driving⁴ may assist with this.

Seatbelts

The IRTAD report states that seat belts are one of the most effective tools to save the lives of vehicle users, and data in NI certainly shows that the likelihood of being killed in a collision is much higher if you are not wearing a seatbelt. In the five years 2014-2018, 0.5% of all car occupant casualties who were wearing a seatbelt sustained fatal injuries, compared with 3.1% of car occupant casualties who were not wearing a seat belt. While the overall number of car user fatalities who were not wearing a seatbelt is small (an average of 7 per year in the last five years), they make up a sizeable proportion of the total number of such fatalities: over the period 2014-2018, just under one-fifth (17%) of car occupant fatalities were not wearing a seatbelt.

Helmet Use

In all IRTAD member and observer countries except the United States, the use of helmets on powered two-wheelers (motorcycles and mopeds) is compulsory. For pedal cycles, helmet use for adult cyclists is not compulsory in most countries; however, in some countries, children must wear cycle helmets.

5 Ireland - Winner of the 2019 Road Safety Performance Index Award

Ireland is the winner of this year's <u>European Transport Safety</u> <u>Council</u> Road Safety Performance Index (PIN) award. The annual award

is presented to a European country that has demonstrated continued progress on road safety combined with a strategic approach to tackling the problem across government. Ireland was the second safest European Union Member State in 2018, in terms of road mortality (road deaths per million inhabitants) and has moved up five places in the ranking of EU countries since 2010. In terms of numbers killed, Ireland has cut annual deaths by more than 30% since 2010. By comparison, deaths increased in other relatively safe countries over the same period including Sweden and the Netherlands.

According to ETSC, Ireland's performance has been driven by the establishment of a specific government road safety agency (Road Safety Authority – RSA), a long-term strategic plan to cut road deaths with specific targets, regular evaluation and follow-up, and a multi-agency approach to delivery across government. In recent years considerable efforts have been put in place on tackling dangerous speeding, as well as drink-driving. See https://etsc.eu/13th-annual-road-safety-performance-index-pin-report/.

³ See Alcohol-Related Road Casualties in Official Crash Statistics, ITF 2018 <u>https://www.itf-oecd.org/alcohol-related-road-casualties-official-crash-statistics</u>

⁴ <u>https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/articles/fatal-and-serious-ksi-road-traffic-</u> <u>collisions-caused-by-drink-driving-northern-ireland-2013-2017</u>

	Fatality Rate	
6 Annex	<=3	
	3.1-4.0	
Table A1: Poad Traffic Fatalities in 2016 by Country	4.1-6.0	
(Country colour coded according to fatality rate - estimated road traffic death	6.1-8.0	
rate per 100,000 population)	8.1-16.0	
	>=16.1	

Country/Area	Population numbers for 2016 ^a	Income level ^c	Reported number of road traffic deaths	Modelled number of road traffic deaths ^d	Estimated road traffic death rate per 100 000 population ^d	
Afghanistan	34656032	Low	1565	5230	15.1	
Albania	2926348	Middle	269	399	13.6	
Angola	28813464	Middle	2845	6797	23.6	
Antigua and Barbuda	100963	High	8	8	7.9	
Argentina	43847432	Middle	5530	6119	14	
Armenia	2924816	Middle	267	499	17.1	
Australia	24125848	High	1296	1351	5.6	
Austria	8712137	High	432	452	5.2	
Azerbaijan	9725376	Middle	759	845	8.7	
Bangladesh	162951552	Middle	2376 ^e	24954	15.3	
Barbados	284996	High	9	16	5.6	
Belarus	9480042	9480042 Middle 588 841				
Belgium	11358379	11358379 High 637 657				
Belize	366954	Middle	101	104	28.3	
Benin	10872298	Low	637 ^e	2986	27.5	
Bhutan	797765	Middle	125	139	17.4	
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	10887882	Middle	1259	1687	15.5	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3516816	Middle 318		552	15.7	
Botswana	2250260	Middle	450	535	23.8	
Brazil	207652864	Middle	38651 ^e	41007	19.7	
Bulgaria	7131494	Middle	708	730	10.2	
Burkina Faso	18646432	Low	878	5686	30.5	
Burundi	10524117	Low	112 ^e	3651	34.7	
Cabo Verde	539560	Middle	41	135	25.0	
Cambodia	15762370	Middle	1852	2803	17.8	
Cameroon	23439188	Middle	1879	7066	30.1	
Canada	36289824	High	1858 ^e	2118	5.8	
Central African Republic	4594621	Low	193	1546	33.6	
Chad	14452543	Low	1122f	3990	27.6	
Chile	17909754	High	1675	2245	12.5	
China	1411415375	Middle	58022 ^e	256180	18.2	
Colombia	48653420	Middle	7158	8987	18.5	

Comoros	795601	Low	23	211	26.5
Congo	5125821	Middle	308	1405	27.4
Cook Islands	17379	High	5 ^e	3	17.3
Costa Rica	4857274	Middle	795 ^e	812	16.7
Côte d'Ivoire	23695920	Middle	991	5582	23.6
Croatia	4213265	Middle	307	340	8.1
Cuba	11475982	Middle	750	975	8.5
Cyprus	1170125	High	46	60	5.1
Czechia	10610947	High	611	630	5.9
Democratic Republic of the Congo	78736152	Low	385	26529	33.7
Denmark	5711870	High	211	227	4.0
Dominica	73543	Middle	10 ^e	8	10.9
Dominican Republic	10648791	Middle	3118	3684	34.6
Ecuador	16385068	Middle	2894	3490	21.3
Egypt	95688680	Middle	8211	9287	9.7
El Salvador	6344722	Middle	1215	1411	22.2
Equatorial Guinea	1221490	Middle	41 ^e	300	24.6
Eritrea	4954645	Low	130	1255	25.3
Estonia	1312442	High	71	80	6.1
Eswatini	1343098	Middle	203	361	26.9
Ethiopia	102403200	Low	4352 ^e	27326	26.7
Fiji	898760	Middle	60	86	9.6
Finland	5503132	High	252	260	4.7
France	64720688	High	3477	3585	5.5
Gabon	1979786	Middle	54 ⁱ	460	23.2
Gambia	2038501	Low	139	605	29.7
Georgia	3925405	Middle	581	599	15.3
Germany	81914672	High	3206	3327	4.1
Ghana	28206728	Middle	1802 ^e	7018	24.9
Greece	11183716	High	824	1026	9.2
Grenada	107317	Middle	10	10	9.3
Guatemala	16582469	Middle	2058	2758	16.6
Guinea	12395924	Low	458	3490	28.2
Guinea-Bissau	1815698	Low	122	565	31.1
Guyana	773303	Middle	128	190	24.6
Honduras	9112867	Middle	1407	1525	16.7
Hungary	9753281	High	607	756	7.8
Iceland	332474	High	18	22	6.6
India	1324171392	Middle	150785	299091	22.6
Indonesia	261115456	Middle	31282	31726	12.2
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	80277424	Middle	15932	16426	20.5
Iraq	37202572	Middle	4134	7686	20.7
Ireland	4726078	High	188	194	4.1
Israel	8191828	High	335	345	4.2
Italy	59429936	High	3428 ^e	3333	5.6

Jamaica	2881355	Middle	379	391	13.6
Japan	127748512	High	4682	5224	4.1
Jordan	9455802	Middle	750	2306	24.4
Kazakhstan	17987736	Middle	2625	3158	17.6
Kenya	48461568	Middle	2965	13463	27.8
Kiribati	114395	Middle	5	5	4.4
Kuwait	4052584	High	424	715	17.6
Kyrgyzstan	5955734	Middle	812	916	15.4
Lao People's Democratic Republic	6758353	Middle	1086	1120	16.6
Latvia	1970530	High	158	184	9.3
Lebanon	6006668	Middle	576 ^e	1090	18.1
Lesotho	2203821	Middle	318	638	28.9
Liberia	4613823	Low	175	1657	35.9
Libya	6293253	Middle	2414	1645	26.1
Lithuania	2908249	High	192	234	8.0
Luxembourg	575747	High	32	36	6.3
Madagascar	24894552	Low	340	7108	28.6
Malawi	18091576	Low	1122	5601	31.0
Malaysia	31187264	Middle	7152	7374	23.6
Maldives	427756	Middle	4	4	0.9
Mali	17994836	Low	541	4159	23.1
Malta	429362	High	22	26	6.1
Mauritania	4301018	Middle	184	1064	24.7
Mauritius	1262132	Middle	144	173	13.7
Mexico	127540424	Middle	16039 ^e	16725	13.1
Micronesia (Federated States of)	104937	Middle	2	2	1.9
Mongolia	3027398	Middle	484	499	16.5
Montenegro	628615	Middle	65	67	10.7
Morocco	35276784	Middle	3785	6917	19.6
Mozambique	28829476	Low	1379	8665	30.1
Myanmar	52885224	Middle	4887	10540	19.9
Namibia	2479713	Middle	731	754	30.4
Nepal	28982772	Low	2006 ^e	4622	15.9
Netherlands	16987330	High	621 ^e	648	3.8
New Zealand	4660833	High	327	364	7.8
Niger	20672988	Low	978	5414	26.2
Nigeria	185989632	Middle	5053	39802	21.4
Norway	5254694	High	135	143	2.7
Oman	4424762	High	692	713	16.1
Pakistan	193203472	Middle	4448 ^e	27582	14.3
Panama	4034119	Middle	440	575	14.3
Papua New Guinea	8084991	Middle	158	1145	14.2
Paraguay	6725308	Middle	1202	1529	22.7
Peru	31773840	Middle	2696	4286	13.5
Philippines	103320224	Middle	10012 ^e	12690	12.3

Poland	38224408	High	3026	3698	9.7
Portugal	10371627	High	563	768	7.4
Qatar	2569804	High	178	239	9.3
Republic of Korea	50791920	High	4292	4990	9.8
Republic of Moldova	4059608	Middle	346	394	9.7
Romania	19778084	Middle	1913	2044	10.3
Russian Federation	143964512	Middle	20308	25969	18.0
Rwanda	11917508	Low	593	3535	29.7
Saint Lucia	178015	Middle	15	63	35.4
Samoa	195125	Middle	17 ^e	22	11.3
San Marino	33203	High	0	0	0.0
Sao Tome and Principe	199910	Middle	23	55	27.5
Saudi Arabia	32275688	High	9031	9311	28.8
Senegal	15411614	Low	604	3609	23.4
Serbia	8820083	Middle	607	649	7.4
Seychelles	94228	High	15	15	15.9
Singapore	5622455	High	141	155	2.8
Slovakia	5444218	High	275	330	6.1
Slovenia	2077862	High	130	134	6.4
Solomon Islands	599419	Middle	11	104	17.4
Somalia	14317996	Low	165	3884	27.1
South Africa	56015472	Middle	14071	14507	25.9
South Sudan	12230730	Low	130	3661	29.9
Spain	46347576	High	1810	1922	4.1
Sri Lanka	20798492	Middle	3003	3096	14.9
Sudan	39578828	Middle	2311	10178	25.7
Suriname	558368	Middle	74	81	14.5
Sweden	9837533	High	270	278	2.8
Switzerland	8401739	High	216	223	2.7
Syrian Arab Republic	18430452	Middle	714	4890	26.5
Tajikistan	8734951	Middle	427	1577	18.1
Thailand	68863512	Middle	21745	22491	32.7
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	2081206	Middle	148 ^e	134	6.4
Timor-Leste	1268671	Middle	71	161	12.7
Тодо	7606374	Low	514	2224	29.2
Tonga	107122	Middle	18	18	16.8
Trinidad and Tobago	1364962	High	135	165	12.1
Tunisia	11403248	Middle	1443	2595	22.8
Turkey	79512424	Middle	7300	9782	12.3
Turkmenistan	5662544	Middle	543	823	14.5
Uganda	41487964	Low	3503	12036	29.0
Ukraine	44438624	Middle	4687	6089	13.7
United Arab Emirates	9269612	High	725	1678	18.1
United Kingdom	65788572	High	1804 ^e	2019	3.1
United Republic of Tanzania	55572200	Low	3256	16252	29.2

United States of America	322179616	High	35092 ^e	39888	12.4
Uruguay	3444006	High	446	460	13.4
Uzbekistan	31446796	Middle	2496	3617	11.5
Vanuatu	270402	Middle	9	43	15.9
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	31568180	Middle	7028 ^e	10640	33.7
Viet Nam	94569072	Middle	8417	24970	26.4
West Bank and Gaza Strip	4790705	Middle	159	252	5.3
Zimbabwe	16150362	Low	1721	5601	34.7

Source: World Health Organisation (WHO) Global Status Report on Road Safety, 2018

Notes:

a Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat (June 2017). World population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Highlights. New York: United Nations b Gross National Income (GNI) per capita is the dollar value of a country's final income in a year divided by its population using Atlas methodology. Data from World Development Indicators database, World Bank, November 2017. http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.PCAP.CD/countries c World Development Indicators database: Low income is \$1 005 or less, middle income is \$1 006 to \$12 235, high income is \$12 236 or more

d Modelled using negative binomial regression. Data from countries with good vital registration and countries with a population of less than 150 000 were not included in the model

e 2016 data not available

f From Jan-Oct 2016

g 2016 data not available. Latest available used from World Development Indicators database

Table A2: Rate of Road Traffic Fatalities per 100,000 population, 2004-2018 – Selected countries. (Country colour coded according to most recent year of data)

Fatality Rate

<=3	
3.1-4.0	
4.1-6.0	
6.1-8.0	
>=8.1	

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Argentina						13.0	12.6	12.3	12.3	12.3	12.4		12.7	12.0	12.0**
Australia	7.9	8.1	7.8	7.7	6.8	6.9	6.1	5.7	5.7	5.1	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.6
Austria	10.8	9.4	8.8	8.3	8.2	7.6	6.6	6.2	6.3	5.4	5.1	5.6	5.0	4.7	4.6*
Belgium	11.2	10.8	10.5	10.3	9.2	8.9	7.8	8.0	7.5	6.9	6.7	6.8	5.9	5.4	5.2*
Bulgaria	12.2	12.4	13.7	13.3	14.1	12.1	10.5	8.9	8.2	8.3	9.1	9.8	9.9	9.6	8.7
Canada	8.6	9.0	8.8	8.4	7.3	6.6	6.6	5.9	6.0	5.5	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.0	5.0**
Chile	14.3	13.1	13.2	13.0	13.9	11.6	12.1	11.9	11.4	12.0	11.9	11.9	12.0	10.5	10.5**
Croatia	14.1	13.8	14.2	14.4	15.4	12.7	9.9	9.7	9.2	8.6	7.3	8.2	7.3	8.0	7.7
Cyprus	16.2	13.9	11.6	11.7	10.6	8.9	7.3	8.5	5.9	5.1	5.2	6.7	5.4	6.2	5.7
Czech Republic	13.6	12.6	10.4	11.9	10.4	8.6	7.7	7.4	7.1	6.2	6.5	7.0	5.8	5.5	6.2
Denmark	6.8	6.1	5.6	7.5	7.4	5.5	4.6	4.0	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.7	3.0	3.0*
Estonia	12.4	12.4	15.1	14.6	9.9	7.5	5.9	7.6	6.6	6.1	5.9	5.1	5.4	3.6	5.1
Finland	7.2	7.2	6.4	7.2	6.5	5.2	5.1	5.4	4.7	4.8	4.2	4.9	4.6	4.1	4.1*
France	9.2	8.7	7.7	7.5	6.9	6.8	6.4	6.3	5.8	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.1	5.0*
GB	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.1	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.7***
Germany	7.1	6.5	6.2	6.0	5.4	5.1	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.8	3.9*
Greece	15.1	15.0	14.9	14.4	13.8	13.0	11.2	10.3	8.9	8.0	7.3	7.3	7.6	6.8	6.4*
Hungary	12.8	12.7	12.9	12.2	9.9	8.2	7.4	6.4	6.1	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.4	6.4
Iceland	7.9	6.5	10.3	4.9	3.8	5.3	2.5	3.8	2.8	4.7	1.2	4.9	5.4	4.7	5.2
Ireland	9.3	9.6	8.7	7.8	6.3	5.3	4.7	4.1	3.6	4.1	4.2	3.5	3.9	3.3	3.0*
Israel	6.8	6.3	5.7	5.3	5.6	4.2	4.6	4.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.1*
Italy	10.6	10.1	9.8	8.8	8.1	7.2	7.0	6.5	6.3	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.4	5.6	5.5*
Japan	6.7	6.3	5.7	5.2	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.5**

S. Korea	13.6	13.2	13.0	12.7	12.1	12.0	11.3	10.5	10.8	10.1	9.4	9.1	8.4	8.1	8.1**
Latvia	22.7	19.6	18.3	19.0	14.4	11.7	10.3	8.6	8.7	8.8	10.6	9.5	8.0	7.0	7.7
Lithuania	22.1	23.0	23.1	22.8	15.5	11.6	9.5	9.7	10.1	8.7	9.1	8.3	6.6	6.7	6.1
Luxembourg	11.0	10.2	9.2	9.5	7.2	9.7	6.4	6.4	6.5	8.4	6.4	6.4	5.6	4.2	6.0
Malta	3.3	4.0	2.5	3.5	3.7	5.1	3.6	4.1	2.2	4.3	2.3	2.5	4.9	4.1	3.8
Netherlands	5.4	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.4	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.9
New Zealand	10.7	9.8	9.5	10.0	8.6	8.9	8.6	6.4	6.9	5.7	6.5	6.9	7.0	7.9	7.9**
NI	8.6	7.8	7.2	6.4	6.0	6.4	3.1	3.3	2.6	3.1	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.4	2.9
Norway	5.6	4.9	5.2	5.0	5.4	4.4	4.3	3.4	2.9	3.7	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.0	2.0
Poland	15.0	14.3	13.7	14.6	14.3	12.0	10.3	11.0	9.4	8.8	8.4	7.7	8.0	7.5	7.5
Portugal	12.4	11.9	9.2	9.2	8.4	8.0	8.9	8.4	6.8	6.1	6.1	5.7	5.4	5.8	5.9*
Romania	11.4	12.3	12.2	13.3	14.9	13.7	11.7	10.0	10.2	9.3	9.1	9.5	9.7	9.9	9.6
Serbia	12.9	11.3	12.3	13.1	12.3	11.0	9.0	10.1	9.5	9.1	7.5	8.4	8.6	8.2	7.8
Slovakia	11.3	11.2	11.3	12.3	11.3	7.2	6.5	6.1	6.5	4.6	5.4	5.7	5.1	5.1	4.2
Slovenia	13.7	12.9	13.1	14.6	10.6	8.4	6.7	6.9	6.3	6.1	5.2	5.8	6.3	5.0	4.4
Spain	11.1	10.3	9.3	8.5	6.8	5.9	5.3	4.4	4.1	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.9*
Sweden	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.2	4.3	3.9	2.8	3.4	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.5	3.2
Switzerland	6.9	5.5	5.0	5.1	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.3	3.3	3.0	3.1	2.6	2.7	2.7
UK	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.0	4.4	3.8	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
United States	14.6	14.7	14.3	13.7	12.3	11.0	10.7	10.4	10.8	10.4	10.3	11.1	11.7	11.4	11.4**
EU28	9.7	9.3	8.8	8.7	7.9	7.1	6.3	6.1	5.6	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.0	4.9

Source: IRTAD Road Safety Database and ETSC Road Safety Performance Index Report, 2019

Notes:

*National provisional estimates used for 2018, as the final figures were not yet available. **2018 figures not yet available, 2017 data has been used. ***GB data for 2018 are the provisional total for Great Britain for the year ending June 2018.



Figure A1: Progress in reducing mortality rates, 2004-2008 Vs 2014-2018

Source: IRTAD Road Safety Database and ETSC Road Safety Performance Index Report, 2019



Figures A2 – A44: Road Traffic Fatality Rate per 100,000 population – Selected Individual Country (Source: IRTAD Road Safety Database and ETSC Road Safety Performance Index Report, 2019)





















































































